#### **Tetraquarks and Pentaquarks**

based in part on forthcoming IoP eBook by TG and Greig Cowan also drawing extensively on Rev. Mod. Phys. 90 (2018) 015003

#### Tim Gershon University of Warwick

#### Seminar at University of Birmingham 2<sup>nd</sup> May 2018





## The birth of the quark model

- Nowadays, usual to think of hadrons as being either
  - $q\bar{q}$  mesons or qqq baryons ( $\bar{q}q\bar{q}$  antibaryons)
- But these are not the only options, as has been known since the start of the quark model

Baryons can now be constructed from quarks by using the combinations (q q q),  $(q q q q \bar{q})$ , etc., while mesons are made out of  $(q \bar{q})$ ,  $(q q \bar{q} \bar{q})$ , etc. It is assuming that the lowest baryon configuration (q q q) gives just the representations 1, 8, and 10 that have been observed, while the lowest meson configuration  $(q \bar{q})$  similarly gives just 1 and 8. M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Lett. 8 (1964) 214

• Where are the  $qq\overline{qq}$  tetraquarks and  $qqqq\overline{q}$  pentaquarks?

## QCD basics

- Due to confinement, bound states must be colourless
  - rgb (baryons) or rr+gg+bb (mesons)
  - thus,  $\overline{\mathbf{r}} \equiv \mathbf{gb}$ , etc., as regards SU(3)
  - important for diquark model
    - baryons can be modelled as quark-diquark mesons
- Perturbative methods do not work at low energies
  - can use NRQCD based on an effective potential
  - lattice QCD important & predictive method 1
    - limited by available computing power
    - not a silver bullet to understand hadrons







## What do we learn from hadrons?

- New states, bound by QCD, do not test the SM per se
- Yet they do provide insight into a murky corner of the SM, namely confinement



## What do we learn from hadrons?

- New states, bound by QCD, do not test the SM per se
- Yet they do provide insight into a murky corner of the SM, namely confinement
- Understanding strong interactions could be important for new high energy phenomena
  - Higgs boson as a composite state
  - Strong interactions in a dark sector (e.g. arXiv:1602.00714)
  - Hadronic dark matter?
- Exotic spectroscopy is an open and fast moving field exciting and fun to be involved
  - n.b. will use "exotic" to refer to anything that is not "conventional"

## A stable sexaquark?

arXiv:1708.08951

- The uuddss sexaquark S
  - with baryon number 2 (similar states sometimes called dibaryons)
  - has a totally symmetric wavefunction, hence large binding energy
  - if  $m_s < m_d + m_e \sim 2(m_p + m_e)$  is completely stable
  - else if  $m_s < m_p + m_e + m_{\Lambda}$  is effectively stable
  - could be a dark matter candidate
- This model has issues, but still interesting
  - Oxygen decay through NN → SX not seen in Super Kamiokande (arXiv:1803.10242)
- Dedicated searches possible (e.g. in Y decay at B factories)

## Why is this relevant now?

- Searches for exotic hadrons have been ongoing for ~50 years with light quarks
  - some claimed signals for pentaquarks which led to nothing ...

#### LEPS collaboration Phys.Rev.Lett. 91 (2003) 012002

- See also DIANA, CLAS, SAPHIR, NA49, HERMES, SVD, COSY-TOF, ZEUS, H1, ...
- Many peaks disappeared with more data and more careful analyses
- Non-observations in other experiments
- See hep-ph/0703004 for a review
- (Not all claims completely disproved yet)



## Why is this relevant now?

- Searches for exotic hadrons have been ongoing for ~50 years with light quarks
  - some claimed signals for pentaquarks which led to nothing ...
  - -too many scalar states
    - with an unexpected pattern of masses ( $K\overline{K}$  threshold effect?)
    - $\pi_1(1400)$ ,  $\pi_1(1600)$  states with J<sup>PC</sup> = 1<sup>-+</sup>
      - -i.e. manifestly exotic quantum numbers
  - -difficult to make definitive claims in light hadron sector
    - states broad and overlapping
- New possibilities in latest generations of heavy flavour experiments, especially for  $c\bar{c}$  (and related) states

## X(3872)

- Unexpected discovery by the Belle collaboration in 2003
  - B<sup>+</sup> → X(3872)K<sup>+</sup>, X(3872) → J/ψπ<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup>
  - Rapidly confirmed by
    - BaBar, CDF, D0
    - (later LHCb, CMS, ATLAS)
  - Produced in
    - B decay, pp &  $p\overline{p}$  collisions
  - Decays to
    - J/ψρ, J/ψω, J/ψγ, DD\*
- Does not fit conventional cc spectrum



## Conventional qq spectroscopy

- Define, as usual, intrinsic spin S, orbital angular momentum L, total angular momentum ("spin") J = L  $\oplus$  S
- q &  $\overline{q}$  have opposite parity: P =  $-1^{L+1}$
- charge conjugation:  $C = (-1^{s})(-1^{L})$
- For L=0, have  $J^{PC} = 0^{-+} (\eta_c), 1^{-} (J/\psi)$



- For L=1, have  $J^{PC} = 0^{++} (\chi_{c0}), 1^{+-} (h_c), 1^{++} (\chi_{c1}), 2^{++} (\chi_{c2})$ 
  - cannot get manifestly exotic quantum numbers (e.g.  $J^{PC} = 0^{-}, 0^{+}, 1^{-+}$ ) from  $q\bar{q}$
- Other notations also used:  $n^{2S+1}L_{J}$ ,  $\psi(2S)$ , X(3872), ...
  - as usual in spectroscopy,  $L = 0,1,2,3 \dots$  denoted S,P,D,F ...
- Simple prediction for pattern of masses and quantum numbers
  - need to measure both, as well as total widths, branching fractions, ...

## Measuring quantum numbers

- Can be inferred from production or decay processes
  - both P and C conserved, since strong or electromagnetic processes
- Production
  - in  $e^+e^-$  collisions then  $J^{PC} = 1-$
  - in hadron collisions  $\rightarrow$  usually no information (unknown additional particles)
  - in B decay  $\rightarrow$  initial state constrained
- Decay
  - need to measure angular momentum between final state particles
    - require constrained initial and final states B decay chain ideal
  - (some exceptions, e.g. X(3872) → J/ $\psi\gamma$  fixes C=+1)

#### Large, clean samples of B decays at B factories and LHCb

#### **Belle Detector**





#### Measuring X(3872) quantum numbers

Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 011102

Example: angular distributions in  $B^+ \rightarrow X(3872)K^+$ ,  $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ 

Unambiguously determines  $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$  (projections in plots do not carry all information)





#### The cc spectrum

Mass (MeV)



#### The cc spectrum from lattice QCD



#### The cc spectrum

Mass (MeV)



#### Could the X(3872) be the $\chi_{c1}(2P)$ state?

- Several strong arguments against:
  - isospin violation (decay to  $J/\psi\rho$ ) not expected
    - near equality of branching fractions to J/ $\psi\rho$  & J/ $\psi\omega$
    - (isospin partners however not observed)
  - above threshold for decay to open charm but not significantly wider than  $\chi_{\rm c1}(1\text{P})$ 
    - only upper limit on X(3872) width measured so far
  - mass splitting relative to  $\chi_{c2}(2P)$  state less than expected
    - mass suspiciously close to  $D\overline{D}^*$  threshold
- If not, what is it?

Tightly bound tetraquark (all quarks bound by gluons)



Meson-meson molecule (bound by pion exchange)



#### or some mixture with cc, or something else?

Simplified picture above: most tightly bound models involve diquarks

Tetraquarks and Pentaquarks

Or

## Molecular or tightly-bound?

- Molecular model (D<sup>0</sup>D<sup>\*0</sup>)
  - natural explanation for mass being near threshold
  - natural explanation for isospin violation
    - amplification of  $D^{(*)+}-D^{(*)0}$  mass difference
  - production in pp  $(p\overline{p})$  not as expected
    - could be explained by admixture with  $\chi_{\rm c1}(2\text{P})$
    - lattice QCD calculations support this view (arXiv:1503.03257)



## Molecular or tightly-bound?

- Molecular model (D<sup>0</sup>D<sup>\*0</sup>)
  - natural explanation for mass being near threshold
  - natural explanation for isospin violation
    - amplification of  $D^{(*)+}-D^{(*)0}$  mass difference
  - production in pp ( $p\overline{p}$ ) not as expected
    - could be explained by admixture with  $\chi_{\rm c1}(2\text{P})$
    - lattice QCD calculations support this view (arXiv:1503.03257)
- Tightly bound diquarks ([cu][cu])
  - can explain isospin violation
  - predicts existence of isospin partners (not seen)

## A smoking gun

- An unambiguous signal for exotic hadrons is a charged charmonium-like state
- Belle discovered a candidate in 2007
  - B<sup>0</sup> → Z(4430)-K<sup>+</sup>,
  - Z(4430)-  $\rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi$ -
- Not confirmed by BaBar
  - analysis method too simplistic?





# Z(4430) confirmation by LHCb

- An unambiguous signal for exotic hadrons is a charged charmonium-like state
- Belle discovered a candidate in 2007
  - B<sup>0</sup> → Z(4430)-K<sup>+</sup>,
  - Z(4430)-→ψ(2S)π-
- Confirmed by LHCb
  - Full 4D amplitude analysis
  - (necessary to determine parameters correctly)
  - Quantum numbers  $J^{P} = 1^{+}$





Tetraquarks and Pentaquarks

### Resonant character of the Z(4430)



• A Breit-Wigner function has a characteristic rapid change of phase near the resonance peak A

$$l(s) \propto \frac{1}{m^2 - s^2 + im\Gamma(s)}$$

- Plotting the amplitude in the Argand plane, the lineshape maps out a circle (anticlockwise, as mass increases)
- Can be measured in an amplitude analysis

## Resonant character of the Z(4430)

- Complex amplitude measured in 6 bins of m( $\psi(2S)\pi^{-}$ )
- Found to follow expected anticlockwise trajectory in Argand plan
- Rules out models where Z(4430) arises due to kinematic effects



**Tetraquarks and Pentaquarks** 

### More smoking guns



**Tetraguarks and Pentaguarks** 

Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 022001

26

## More smoking guns



## Smoking guns in the $b\overline{b}$ system



- Belle observed anomalously high rate of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Y(10860) \rightarrow Y(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$
- Investigation of recoil mass revealed surprising presence of  $h_b(1P)$  and  $h_b(2P)$  states first observations!

## Smoking guns in the $b\overline{b}$ system



- Belle observed anomalously high rate of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Y(10860) \rightarrow Y(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$
- Investigation of recoil mass revealed surprising presence of  $h_b(1P)$  and  $h_b(2P)$  states first observations!
- Allows study of the Y(nS) $\pi$  and  $h_{b}(nP)\pi$  mass distributions

Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 112001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 032001

# Smoking guns in the $b\overline{b}$ system

- Two peaks,  $Z_b(10610)$  and  $Z_b(10650)$  seen with consistent properties in five different decay modes!
- Quantum numbers  $J^P = 1^+$
- Masses near to BB\* and B\*B\* thresholds
  - decays to  $\mathsf{B}\overline{\mathsf{B}}{}^*$  and  $\mathsf{B}{}^*\overline{\mathsf{B}}{}^*$  also seen

Phys.Rev.Lett. 108 (2012) 122001

Isospin partners observed



#### Pentaquarks

- Large samples of b baryons produced at LHC
- Ideal to search for pentaquarks containing  $c\overline{c}$ 
  - Particle identification important to reject B meson decay backgrounds
  - Strong advantage of LHCb (but hope ATLAS+CMS can contribute)



Tetraquarks and Pentaquarks

## Amplitude analysis of baryon decay

Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 072001

- Lesson from Z(4430)
  - full amplitude analysis is mandatory!
- Additional degrees of freedom for baryons
  - non-zero spin of initial and final state particles
  - 6D amplitude analysis necessary



## Amplitude analysis of baryon decay

Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 072001

• Not possible to get good description of data including only  $\Lambda^* \rightarrow pK$  resonances



Tetraquarks and Pentaquarks

## Amplitude analysis of baryon decay

Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 072001

- Not possible to get good description of data including only  $\Lambda^* \to pK$  resonances
- Acceptable fit including two  $P_c \rightarrow J\psi/p$  states



Tetraquarks and Pentaquarks

## Resonant nature of the $P_c$ states

Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 072001



- Phase rotation as expected for  $P_c$ (4450)
- Situation less clear for  $P_c(4380)$  update with more data needed
- Not possible to unambiguously assign quantum numbers
  - Four possibilities:  $J^{P}(P_{c}(4450), P_{c}(4380)) = (3/2^{\pm}, 5/2^{\mp}), (5/2^{\pm}, 3/2^{\mp})$

#### A new particle zoo



Rev. Mod. Phys. 90 (2018) 015003

## A new particle zoo



- Many new states found!
- Often by only one experiment &/or in only one channel
  - confirmations needed
- Colour code
  - conventional mesons
  - neutral states without charged partners
  - charged states (with or without neutral partners)
  - pentaquark states
- Many, but not all, states near thresholds, e.g. D<sup>(\*)</sup>D<sup>(\*)</sup>
  - more than one effect at play?

## How to make sense of it all?

- We will need
  - better data
    - more measurements inspired by better predictions
    - excellent prospects with LHCb, Belle II and LHCb upgrades
  - better predictions
    - can be made by benefitting from better data
    - including results on conventional hadrons
- Excellent example: doubly heavy baryons
  - ideal testing ground for QCD potential in diquark models

# Observation of the $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 112001



### Practical applications?

#### Nature 551 (2017) 89



nuclei. The recent discovery<sup>1</sup> of the first doubly charmed baryon  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ , which contains two charm quarks (c) and one up quark (u) and has a mass of about 3,621 megaelectronvolts (MeV) (the mass of the proton is 938 MeV) also revealed a large binding energy of about 130 MeV between the two charm quarks. Here we report that this strong binding enables a quark-rearrangement, exothermic reaction in which two heavy baryons ( $\Lambda_c$ ) undergo fusion to produce the doubly charmed baryon  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  and a neutron  $n (\Lambda_c \Lambda_c \to \Xi_{cc}^{++} n)$ , resulting in an energy release of 12 MeV. This reaction is a quarklevel analogue of the deuterium-tritium nuclear fusion reaction  $(DT \rightarrow {}^{4}He n)$ . The much larger binding energy (approximately 280 MeV) between two bottom quarks (b) causes the analogous reaction with bottom quarks  $(\Lambda_b \Lambda_b \rightarrow \Xi_{bb}^0 n)$  to have a much larger energy release of about 138 MeV. We suggest some experimental setups in which the highly exothermic nature of the fusion of two heavy-quark baryons might manifest itself. At present, however, the very short lifetimes of the heavy bottom and charm quarks preclude any practical applications of such reactions.

Jarks

## Roadmap for double heavies

- The observation of the  $\Xi_{\rm cc}^{\rm ++}$  (ccu) baryon is the start of a programme
- Crucial to measure properties of isospin partner  $\Xi_{cc}{}^{+}$  (ccd) and of their excited states
  - (also lifetime, production rate and other decay modes)
- Studies of  $\Xi_{bc}$  states also essential
- Will allow precise predictions of [bb][ud], [bc][ud], and [cc][ud] tetraquarks

## Summary

- No longer any doubt that exotic hadrons exist
  - question is now over their binding mechanism
- Situation currently rather cloudy
  - some models explain some of the data well
    - threshold effects, molecules, tightly bound tetraquarks, hadrocharmonium, ...
  - no model explains all of the data by itself
    - more than one effect contributing?
- Good reasons for optimism about progress in coming years
  - quite likely that major discoveries are waiting to be made

# Bibliography

- Several excellent recent review articles
  - M. Karliner et al., to appear in Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci., https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.10626
  - S. Olsen et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 90 (2018) 015003, https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.04012
  - A. Ali et al., Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 97 (2017) 123, https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.00610
  - R. Lebed et al., Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 93 (2017) 143, https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.04528