



LHCb and its upgrades

Matthew Kenzie

University of Cambridge

University of Birmingham Particle Physics Seminar

23rd January 2019

How to find New Physics at the LHC?



- Most HEP direct discoveries have been preceded by indirect evidence first!
 - Think charm, bottom and top quarks, even the Higgs
- If we don't see New Physics directly at the LHC, indirect evidence can guide us where to look (or what to build) next

Why is the universe matter dominated?



- *CP*-violation in the SM is generated by **quark flavour transitions**
- These contain the only source of *CP*-violation in the SM ($\theta_{\rm QCD} = m_{\nu} = 0$)

Current LHCb Detector

2 Highlights of recent results

- CP-violation and CKM constraints
- Flavour anomalies

3 Medium to Long Term Plans

- Upgrade 1a
- Upgrade 1b-II

4 Future Physics Reach

LHCb

- Copius production of B^+ , B^0 , B_s^0 , Λ_b^0 (100K $b\overline{b}/s$)
- LHCb detector is specifically designed to study them





LHCb



LHCb





Current LHCb Detector

2 Highlights of recent results

- CP-violation and CKM constraints
- Flavour anomalies

3 Medium to Long Term Plans

- Upgrade 1a
- Upgrade 1b-II

4 Future Physics Reach

1. Highlights of recent results

- Collected 3 fb^{-1} in **Run 1** and an additional 6 fb^{-1} in **Run 2**
- Run 2 has seen commissioning of real-time alignment and calibration in the trigger



 Will show a selection of a few recent results, with an emphasis on the overall picture rather than any specifics

LHCb 2015 Trigger Diagram

Current LHCb Detector

2 Highlights of recent results

- CP-violation and CKM constraints
- Flavour anomalies

Medium to Long Term Plans

- Upgrade 1a
- Upgrade 1b-II

Future Physics Reach

The CKM quark mixing matrix

- In the SM quarks can change flavour by emission of a W^{\pm} boson
 - So must also change charge (i.e. from up-type to down-type or vice-versa)



 The probability for such a transition is governed by the elements of the 3 × 3 unitary CKM matrix

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{CKM \ matrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix} \\ \stackrel{\text{flavour}}{\underset{\text{eigenstates}}{}} \end{array}$$

The CKM quark mixing matrix

- In the SM quarks can change flavour by emission of a W^{\pm} boson
 - So must also change charge (i.e. from up-type to down-type or vice-versa)



 The probability for such a transition is governed by the elements of the 3 × 3 unitary CKM matrix

It exhibits a clear hierarchy (which sets strong constraints on NP)

CKM hierarchy

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.2 & 0.004 \\ 0.2 & 1 & 0.04 \\ 0.008 & 0.04 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
experimentally
determined values

The CKM quark mixing matrix

- In the SM quarks can change flavour by emission of a W^{\pm} boson
 - So must also change charge (i.e. from up-type to down-type or vice-versa)



The probability for such a transition is governed by the elements of the 3 × 3 unitary CKM matrix

- It exhibits a clear hierarchy (which sets strong constraints on NP)
- Contains the only source of *CP*-violation in the SM (if $\theta_{QCD} = m_{\nu} = 0$)

Wolfenstein parametrisation

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2/2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$$

4 $\mathcal{O}(1)$ real parameters $(\mathbf{A}, \lambda, \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\eta})$

CP-violation in the SM

- Unitarity imposes several conditions $(V^{\dagger}V = 1)$
 - Gives rise to "unitarity" triangles
 - Internal area dictates the total amount of CPV in the quark sector

Wolfenstein parametrisation

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2/2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$$



CP-violation in the SM

- Unitarity imposes several conditions ($V^{\dagger}V = 1$)
 - Gives rise to "unitarity" triangles
 - ► The triangles' area dictates the total amount of CPV in the quark sector

Wolfenstein parametrisation

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2/2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$$



CKM unitarity and CP-violation



CKM unitarity and CP-violation



Tree-level constraints

- Uncertainty on γ now ~ 5°
 [LHCb-CONF-2018-002]
- Measurement of V_{ub} with Λ⁰_b baryons
 [Nat. Phys. 10 (2015) 1038]

Loop-level constraints

- ► sin 2β_{eff} competitive with *B* factories [JHEP 11 (2017) 170]
- World best for Δm_d/Δm_s
 [EPJ C76 (2016) 412]

New Physics effects in the flavour sector

- \blacktriangleright Discrepancy between "tree" and "loop" constraints \Rightarrow clear sign of New Physics
- Sensitive to NP effects at extremely high energy scales $\sim O(10^2 10^3)$ TeV

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Direct:} & \gamma = (72.1^{+5.4}_{-5.7})^{\circ} \\ \text{Indirect:} & \gamma = (65.6^{+1.0}_{-3.4})^{\circ} \end{array} \end{array} \right\} \ \text{Currently a} \sim 2\sigma \ \text{tension} \ \end{array}$







CP-violation in the SM

• Unitarity imposes several conditions ($V^{\dagger}V = 1$)

- Gives rise to "unitarity" triangles
- The triangles' area dictates the total amount of CPV in the quark sector



Matthew Kenzie (Cambridge)

CP-violation in the SM

- Unitarity imposes several conditions ($V^{\dagger}V = 1$)
 - Gives rise to "unitarity" triangles
 - ► The triangles' area dictates the total amount of CPV in the quark sector

Wolfenstein parametrisation

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2/2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$$



Matthew Kenzie (Cambridge)

CKM unitarity and CP-violation



Loop-level measurements

- Precision measurement of ϕ_s in golden $b \rightarrow c\overline{c}s$ modes
 - [PRL 114 (2015) 041801]
- Also in BSM sensitive loop-dominated b → sss and b → dds modes (unique to LHCb)
 - [LHCb-CONF-2018-001], [JHEP 03 (2018) 140]

Current LHCb Detector

Highlights of recent results CP-violation and CKM constraints

• Flavour anomalies

Medium to Long Term Plans

- Upgrade 1a
- Upgrade 1b-II

Future Physics Reach

Intriguing signs of deviations from the SM in $b \rightarrow s \ell^+ \ell^-$ transitions

- Angular observables in $B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$
- $\blacktriangleright~e/\mu$ ratios in $B^+ \to K^+ \ell^+ \ell^-/B^0 \to K^{*0} \ell^+ \ell^-$
- \blacktriangleright Constrained by SM-like behaviour in $B^0_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$



Matthew Kenzie (Cambridge)

 $B^0_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$



Results are consistent with the SM predictions



Provides strong constraints on NP from other anomalies

Angular observables in $B^0 o K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$

- Deviations of $\sim 3.5\sigma$ from the SM prediction (in LHCb alone)
- Is this hints of NP of just some unaccounted for hadronic effect?



Tests of lepton flavour universality

• Further discrepancies seen in ratios R(K) and $R(K^{*0})$ (a host of others under study)



Also see discrepancies in $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \ell \nu$ (R_D and R_{D^*})



Flavour anomaly interpretation

- Can interpret the flavour anomalies in terms of Effective Theories
- Deeper understanding is a key aim for Run 2 analysis

[PRD 96 (2017) 055008]



Other recent (UK) highlights





Current LHCb Detector

2 Highlights of recent results

- CP-violation and CKM constraints
- Flavour anomalies

Medium to Long Term Plans

- Upgrade 1a
- Upgrade 1b-II

4 Future Physics Reach

2. Medium to Long Term Plans



2. Medium to Long Term Plans



Current LHCb Detector

2 Highlights of recent results

- CP-violation and CKM constraints
- Flavour anomalies

Medium to Long Term Plans Upgrade 1a

• Upgrade 1b-II

Future Physics Reach

- The largest throughput DAQ system ever built
- ► A major construction project making good progress and largely on schedule
- Predominant UK involvement in VELO, RICH and Offline Computing



- The largest throughput DAQ system ever built
- ► A major construction project making good progress
- Predominant UK involvement in VELO, RICH and Offline Computing



- The largest throughput DAQ system ever built
- ► A major construction project making good progress and largely on schedule
- Predominant UK involvement in VELO, RICH and Offline Computing





- The largest throughput DAQ system ever built
- ► A major construction project making good progress and largely on schedule
- Predominant UK involvement in VELO, RICH and Offline Computing



Current LHCb Detector

2 Highlights of recent results

- CP-violation and CKM constraints
- Flavour anomalies

3 Medium to Long Term Plans

- Upgrade 1a
- Upgrade 1b-II

Future Physics Reach

Upgrade Ib - II

Exciting ideas for consolidation of Upgrade I and preparation of Upgrade II

- Mighty (CMOS) Tracker
 - Downstream tracking near the beam pipe
 - Complement Upgrade I SciFi detector
- TORCH
 - Time-of-flight using Cherenkov light
 - PID for low momentum objects
- Magnet side stations
- ECAL
- Timing VELO
- Timing RICH
- UK has an R&D grant for TORCH
- Worldwide R&D ongoing for fast-timing and calorimetry in Upgrade Ib-II
- ► Upgrade Ib TDRs aiming for LHCC in Sep 2020
- Upgrade Ib-II Physics Case Document released [CERN-LHCC-2018-027]



Upgrade II

- Machine requirements can be met to deliver $50 \, \text{fb}^{-1}/\text{year}$ to LHCb
 - "a range of potential solutions for operating the LHCb Upgrade II … permitting the collection of 300 fb⁻¹ or more at IP8" - [CERN-ACC-NOTE-2018-003]
- > Detector requirements in high pileup and high occupancy environment
 - Maintaining performance of the tracking, flavour tagging and particle identification requires precise timing
- ► Large increase in physics reach



Upgrade II

- ▶ Machine requirements can be met to deliver 50 fb⁻¹/year to LHCb
 - "a range of potential solution for operating the LHCb Upgrade II ... permitting the collection of 300 fb⁻¹ or more at IP8" - [CERN-ACC-NOTE-2018-003]
- > Detector requirements in high pileup and high occupancy environment
 - Maintaining performance of the tracking, flavour tagging and particle identification requires precise timing
- ► Large increase in physics reach



Current LHCb Detector

2 Highlights of recent results

- CP-violation and CKM constraints
- Flavour anomalies

3 Medium to Long Term Plans

- Upgrade 1a
- Upgrade 1b-II

4 Future Physics Reach

- ► Vital to maintain decay-time resolution and flavour-tagging perfomance
 - Requires timing detectors
- Uncertainty on ϕ_s goes below the SM prediction for several different modes
- World best measurement of $sin(2\beta)$



Time-integrated CPV in Upgrade II

- Unprecedented precision (~ 0.3°) in theoretically clean extraction of γ
 - Close collaboration with BES-III required (see Sneha Malde's talk)



Semileptonic CPV with Upgrade II

- Particular gain for semileptonics with reduction of RF foil thickness
 - Use of TORCH for V_{ub} with $B_s^0 \to K \mu \nu$



CKM constraints from LHCb Now



CKM constraints with LHCb Upgrades

CKM constraints from LHCb Upgrade I



CKM constraints with LHCb Upgrades





Flavour anomalies with Upgrade II

Observable	Current LHCb	LHCb 2025	Belle II	Upgrade II
EW Penguins				
$\overline{R_K} \ (1 < q^2 < 6 \mathrm{GeV}^2 c^4)$	0.1 [274]	0.025	0.036	0.007
R_{K^*} $(1 < q^2 < 6 \text{GeV}^2 c^4)$	0.1 [275]	0.031	0.032	0.008
$R_{\phi}, R_{pK}, R_{\pi}$	_	0.08, 0.06, 0.18		0.02, 0.02, 0.05
$B^0_c, B^0 { ightarrow} \mu^+ \mu^-$				
$\overline{\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)}/\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	90% [264]	34%	_	10%
$\tau_{B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-}$	22% [264]	8%	-	2%
$S_{\mu\mu}$	-	_	_	0.2
$b \to c \ell^- \bar{\nu}_l$ LUV studies				
$\overline{R(D^*)}$	0.026 [215, 217]	0.0072	0.005	0.002
$R(J/\psi)$	0.24 [220]	0.071	_	0.02
- 25-				
υ Ε — sm				
	-	Â.	•	LHCb
∠ scenario I		E E		
1.5	-	0.1	-	
	N	t t	•	•
F / 🛌	<u> </u>		¥	
0.5		q l		•
		<,	<u> </u>	
0	····	0.01	•	×
		1		•
-0.5		×	$X = D^*, \tau \rightarrow \mu \nu_{\mu}\nu_{\tau}$	×
		•	$X = D^*, \tau^- \to \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \iota$	· · ·
-1 - F		• • • • •	$X = J/\psi, \tau^- \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \nu_\tau$	
	فتتنت	0.001	2 12	50 200
-3 -2 -1	0 1		J 20	JU 300
	$\Delta \text{Re}C_{o}$		Integrated	Luminosity [fb ⁻¹]

Matthew Kenzie (Cambridge)

 Many, many other physics opportunites in Charm, Rare Decays, SM measurements and BSM searches



Summary I/II

1. The LHCb experiment is performing exceptionally well

- Delivering an incredibly broad physics programme
- Some exciting and unexpected results across a diverse range of topics
- Detector operation and data exploitation funded through CG and external grants (e.g. fellowships, ERC, etc.)

2. The first Upgrade (Ia) is on good track to deliver physics in Run 3 (2021)

- Design and prototype stages are largely complete
- Moving onto production, installation and commissioning
- Funding is in place until 2020
 - R&D and construction covered by PPRP grant plus CG effort
 - Need extensions for key posts for installation and commissioning requested through the CG
- ▶ R&D, proto-typing & construction all covered by a single grant
 - This adds significant challenges (timescale + risk)
 - Although on track to have UK deliverables on time and in budget
 - For future upgrades we would hope to have a funded R&D phase followed by a proto-type and construction phase

- 3. A clear vision to exploit the precision flavour physics potential of the HL-LHC
 - R&D ongoing at a small level for future upgrades
 - Through small grants or unfunded effort
 - Modest effort has been requested in the CG to continue this
 - ► EoI [CERN-LHCC-2017-003] and Physics Case [CERN-LHCC-2018-027] for Upgrade II
 - Anticipate submitting an Sol to STFC later this year for UK involvement in Upgrades Ib and II
 - ▶ Collect at least 300 fb⁻¹ and extend physics reach to unprecedented levels
- 4. LHCb Upgrades Ia, Ib and II are an essential part of the UK and European particle physics programmes in the medium and long term future
 - UK input to the European Strategy process must reflect this

The full exploitation of LHC + HL-LHC data should remain a top priority for the UK. This includes the extensive flavour physics program of LHCb and its upgrades

BACK UP

- ▶ LHCb consists of 61 member institutes (of which are 11 are UK) across 15 countries
- $\blacktriangleright\,$ UK contributes $\sim 157/811$ authors

Senior management roles			
Collaboration Board Chair	Val Gibson		
Deputy Spokesperson	Chris Parkes		
Operations Coordinator	Silvia Borghi		

- ▶ 10/28 Physics planning group convenors at UK institutes
 - An additional 4 were PhDs at UK institutes
 - New Physics coordinator was also a UK PhD
- 7/24 Operation planning group coordinators at UK institutes
- 4/17 Upgrade planning group coordinators at UK institutes

Whilst the LHCb collaboration continues to grow the UK component has not. The UK maintains strong leadership positions across all areas of the experiment.

Flavour anomalies

Deeper understanding is a key aim for Run 2 analysis



Matthew Kenzie (Cambridge)