# PROBING THE HIGGS PROPERTIES AT ATLAS

Ludovica Aperio Bella University of Birmingham

- 1. Introduction
- 2. H decay channels
- 3. Property of the newly-discover H boson
- 4. Rare decay
- 5. Conclusion and prospects



#### Particle Physics Seminar January 15th 2014, Birmingham



# **14** The Standard Model H boson



The Standard Model of particle physics is an impressively successful theory.

⇒ The Higgs mechanism was postulated in the mid-1960s to complete the Standard Model (SM) of particle interactions.

**The Higgs boson has been the missing piece of SM puzzle for the past half century!**



A long way since then ..



 $is = 7 - 8 TeV$ 

### The observation of a new particle

### 4<sup>th</sup> July 2012 – The Discovery of the H



PLB 716(2012) 1-29

 $2011 - 12$ 

 $10 - ATLAS$ 





















8th October 2013

15/1/14 Ludovica Aperio Bella **4** 

# LHC performance and ATLAS detector











**A T**oroidal **L**HC **A**pparatu**S** Is a general purpose experiment **More then 95% of data taking efficiency/ quality**



**UNIVERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM** 



Excellent LHC performance during Run I

- 2011: 4.8 fb-1 at 7 TeV
- 2012: 20.7 fb-1 at 8 TeV
- Challenging data taking condition
	- $\rightarrow$  Pile-up in 2012
	- $\rightarrow$  Maintain excellent performance by improved algorithms

# Lepton performance vs pileUp





# **Photon and Calorimeter performance**

- Stable energy response vs number of primary vertex.
	- Excellent stability of the EM calorimeter response
		- Studied with  $Z$ ,  $\not\psi \rightarrow ee$  and  $W \rightarrow e \nu$  events
		- Energy scale at  $m<sub>z</sub>$  known to ~0.3% and stable wrt pileup
		- Uniformity ~1% (2.5% for 1.37<  $|\eta|$  < 1.8)
- Photon efficiency stable with time and pile-up.





**UNIVERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM** 



- JES uncertainties at the 1-2% level (absolute calibration)
- Missing ET well modeled: Pileup suppression improves  $E_{\text{TMiss}}$ resolution





**UNIVERSITYOF** 

**BIRMINGHAM** 

**correction** 







## **K: SM Higgs production at the LHC**







**UNIVERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM** 

The σBR for the different decay mode is highly  $m_H$ dependent.

@mH= 125 GeV several decay mode can be studied

- Bosonic mode:
	- $\gamma$   $\gamma$ , ZZ\*, WW\*
- Leptonic mode
	- bb, ττ







energy scale)

!

fA<sup>H</sup>

NcQ<sup>2</sup>





- Selection:
	- $E_T(\gamma 1)$  > 40 (20) GeV @8TeV (7TeV)
	- $E_T(\gamma 2)$  > 30 (20) GeV @8TeV (7TeV)
- Search narrow peak in m<sub>γγ</sub>
	- Very good mass resolution ~1.7 GeV.
- Background:
	- $\gamma \gamma$  [~75%],  $\gamma$ -jet and jet-jet [~25%]
- Analysis:
	- Simultaneous fit Signal + background to data
	- $S/B \sim 3\%$ .
	- Classification 14 categories.
		- Enhance sensitivity (~40%).
		- Improve signal resolution (1.4-2.5 GeV).
		- Optimized for coupling measurements



100 110 120 130 140 150 160

 $m_{yy}$  [GeV]



# $\rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : results

- Most significant deviation @ mH 126.5 GeV:
	- Local significance: **7.4**σ (4.1σ expected)
- Mass measurement:

 $126.8 \pm 0.2$  (stat)  $\pm 0.7$  (syst) GeV

- Systematics dominated by photon energy scale
- Production rate:

$$
\mu = 1.65 \pm 0.24 \text{ (stat)}^{+0.25} {}_{-0.18} \text{ (syst)}
$$

• 2.3σ deviation SM





Couplings in agreement with SM expectation within  $2\sigma$ :









=

2

#

the weak vector bosons W±, Z and the fermions, while preserving the SU(2)×U(1) gauge

 $v_{\rm eff} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2$ 

H

<sup>2</sup> (g2W<sup>3</sup>

√2

|
|-<br>| iW22 + iW22





- Selection:
	- $p_T^{-1,2,3,4} > 20, 15, 10, 7/6$ (e/ $\mu$ ) GeV e<br>p<br>m
	- $m_{12} = 50-106$  GeV;  $115 > m_{34} > 12-50$  GeV
	- Main backgrounds:
		- $ZZ^{(*)}$  (irreducible)
		- Reducible: Zbb, Z+jets, tt with two leptons from b/q-jets  $(m_H < 2m_Z)$  $\frac{1}{2}$  s  $\frac{1}{2}$  fb  $\frac{1}{2}$  fb  $\frac{1}{2}$  fb  $\frac{1}{2}$  fb  $\frac{1}{2}$
- Golden channel:
	- very good  $S/B = 1.6$  for mH=125 GeV
	- mass resolution ~1.9 GeV
	- Signal acceptance x efficiency: 15-37 %  $\omega_{\text{H}}$ 125 GeV







#### 15/1/14 Ludovica Aperio Bella **16**   $K$  Nikolopoulos October 29th Higgs boson physics with ATLAS , 2013  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  and





- Local significance: **6.6**σ(4.4σ exp) @mH=124.3GeV
- Mass: **124.3+0.6 -0.5(stat)+0.5 -0.3(syst) GeV**
	- Main systematic uncertainty:  $e/\mu$  E/P scale
- **Production rate with respect to SM:**   $\mu = 1.7^{+0.5}$ <sub>−0.4</sub>
	- The events are further categorized as: VBF-like (two jets in VBF topology) VH-like (events with additional leptons) ggF-like (all remaining events)  $\rightarrow$  Coupling measurement consistent with SM
	- expectation within  $2\sigma$













• m4l shapes: MC; normalization: ZZ: theory, Z+jets, tt: data control regions

2 #  $\sim$  H(x)

2<br>2 (g2W3)<br>2 (g2W3)



 $H \longrightarrow WW^* \longrightarrow \mid \nu \mid \nu$ 

- Signature:
	- 2 isolated opposite-sign leptons & large  $E_{Tmiss}$
- Sensitive channel in wide mass range  $\sim$ 125-180 GeV ( $\sigma \sim 200$  fb)
	- Challenging: two missing  $\nu \rightarrow$  no mass reconstruction/peak
- Observable:  $m_T$

$$
m_{\rm T}=\sqrt{(E_{\rm T}^{\ell\ell}+E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss})^2-({\bf P}_{\rm T}^{\ell\ell}+{\bf P}_{\rm T}^{\rm miss})^2}
$$

- Main backgrounds: WW, top, Z+jets, W+jets
	- Excellent understanding of background in signal region  $\rightarrow$  use signal-free control regions in data to constrain MC  $\rightarrow$  use MC to extrapolate to the signal region
- Further categorization to improve sensitivity:
	- Range dilepton mass:  $m_{\parallel}$
	- lepton flavors:  $\mu e$ ,  $e \mu$ ,  $\mu \mu$ , ee
	- jet multiplicities: 0, 1,  $\geq$ 2







# H→WW\* →lνlνresults



- Broad excess around 120 GeV
	- significance:  $3.8\sigma$  @125 GeV (3.7  $\sigma$  exp)
- Best fit of signal strength:  $\mu = 1.01 \pm 0.31$  @125 GeV
	- Dominant contribution to the experimental systematic uncertainty from jet energy scalé and resolution.
- Coupling measurement consistency with  $SM \leq 1 \sigma$  level









 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

<u>11</u>



# $i$  H→bb (VH→bb)

- **16**  $\Box$   $\Box$   $\Box$   $\Box$   $\Box$   $\Box$   $\Box$ <br>• Highest branching ratio (58% for 125 GeV) but large QCD bkg
- Associated production with W /Z to overcome dominant QCD background:
	- 3 final states:  $ZH \rightarrow \nu$   $\nu$  +bb, WH- $>l \nu$  +bb, ZH- $>l$ II+bb in the VH production mode





- $\limsup_{n\to\infty}$  ove *3*/D and ma • Categories: to improve S/B and mass resolution (16%):
	- 26 2-b tags signal regions,
- 31 bkg control regions
	- $T \cdot$  Discriminant:  $m_{bb}$ 
		- $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ • *All regions fitted simultaneously*
- Exactly 2 and the method is a 70% method in the method is a 70% method
- $T_{\text{time: 80:57:49~\text{ur}}}^{\text{time: 80:24:46}}$  observation Z $\rightarrow$ bb @ 4.8 $\sigma$ (5.1 $\sigma$  exp.) Fit validated on VZ production,





- $mH=125$  GeV 95% CL exclusion limit 1.4 (1.3) x SM
- $\mu = 0.2 \pm 0.5$  (stat)  $\pm 0.4$  (syst) CMS : significance  $2.1(2.1)\sigma$  for mH=125 GeV
- Overall compatibility with background (0) and Higgs (1) hypothesis:  $p0 = 36\% \text{ p1} = 11\%$









1

f<br>V

)<br>介<br>

 $\bigcup$ 



# **\$**  λ<sup>e</sup> v

%

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

# 2







- Sensitive to the  $\tau$  Yukawa coupling
- Search includes the three different decay modes of the  $\tau$ -pair:
	- $\tau$ <sub>lep</sub>  $\tau$ <sub>lep</sub> BR~12%  $\rightarrow$  2lepton
	- $\tau$  lep  $\tau$  had BR~46%  $\rightarrow$  1 lepton
	- $\tau$ <sub>had</sub>  $\tau$ <sub>had</sub> BR~42%  $\rightarrow$  0 lepton
- Background:



- $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$  dominant irreducible, estimated from data
- "Fakes": Multijet, W+jets, top (with fake taus) modeled by data
- "Other": Dibosons and H->WW\* modeled by MC
- MMC *(missing mass calculator)*



- Analysis performed using an MVA approach (Boosted Decision Tree).
	- BDT inputs based on resonance property, VBF topology (for VBF category) and event activity
- Two categories:
	- VBF: 2 jets with a large Pseudorapidity separation
	- Boosted: events failing VBF category, large  $p_T$



- Using a multivariate analysis ATLAS observes the first evidence of SM Higgs decaying to  $\tau$  with a significance of **4.1σ (3.2σ exp.)**
- The fitted signal strength parameter is:  $\mu$  =1.43<sup>+0.31</sup><sub>-0.29</sub>(stat)<sup>+0.41</sup><sub>-0.30</sub>(syst) @m<sub>H</sub>125GeV

Excess consistent with presence of Higgs@125 GeV Each event weighted by  $ln(1+S/B)$  for corresponding bin in BDTscore







Couplings results consistent with SM predictions within 68% contour.





- Wide program of H analyses at ATLAS
- A lot of progress since the "Observation of a New Particle in the Search for the Standard Model Higgs Boson"
- With discovery well established
- $\rightarrow$  Explore the newly discovered particle



**UNIVERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM** 



 $m_{\rm u} = 125.5 \text{ GeV}$ 

÷

**ATLAS** Preliminary

 $\mu = 1.43 \pm 0.21$ 

 $W.Z H \rightarrow bb$  $68 - 7$  TeV:  $(1.01 - 4.7$  to  $6 - 8$  TeV:  $[1.0 - 13.0]$  $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$  $61 - 7$  TeV:  $[1.01 - 4.6]$  b<sup>+1</sup>  $6 - 8$  TeV: [Ld = 13 fb]  $H \rightarrow WW^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  $68 = 8$  TeV:  $(1, 0) = 13$  fb<sup>2</sup>  $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ <br>w=7 fev. [us = 48 fe'  $68 = 8$  TeV: [1.d) = 20.7 tb  $H \rightarrow ZZ' \rightarrow 4I$  $68 = 7$  TeV:  $[1,0] = 4.6$  B<sup>+</sup>  $64 = 8$  TeV:  $14.03 = 20.7$  fb Combined

 $55 = 7$  TeV:  $[1.01 - 4.6 - 4.8$  To

# **K:** Entering Precision Higgs Physics

- Is it the Standard Model Higgs boson?
	- Never conclude that it is the  $\widetilde{S}M$  Higgs boson, we can only demonstrate that it is (in)consistent with that hypothesis
	- Our "null hypothesis" is the SM Higgs sector: many predictions that can be tested:
		- Mass (the only parameter not fixed by SM)
		- Signal strength



 $\,<$ 

7

*ATLAS* Preliminary

- Combined (stat+sys)





ATLAS-CONF-2013-014



- Higgs boson mass measurement using:
	- $\cdot$  H  $\rightarrow \gamma$   $\gamma$  : **126.8**  $\pm$  **0.2**(stat) $\pm$  **0.7** (syst) GeV
- H→ZZ→4l : **124.3<sup>+0.6</sup><sub>-0.5</sub>(stat)<sup>+0.5</sup><sub>-0.3</sub>(syst) GeV**
- Combined  $m_H$ : **125.5 ± 0.2 (stat)+0.5 -0.6 (syst) GeV**
- Results compatible at  $\sim$ 1.5% level  $(\sim 2.4 \sigma)$ 
	- Can depend critically on energy resolution modeling
	- By moving  $\pm 1 \sigma$  the main systematics (calibration, upstream material, pre-samples energy scale) consistency increases up to 8%

CMS:  $m_H = 125.7 \pm 0.3$  (stat)  $\pm 0.3$  (syst) GeV







- Higgs boson signal strength measurement
	- Evaluated at  $m_H = 125.5$  GeV
	- using  $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ ,  $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4$  and  $H \rightarrow W W \rightarrow V$
- $\mu$  = 1.33<sup>+0.21</sup><sub>-0.18</sub>
	- [including preliminary/partial H→bb/  $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$  results gives 1.23±0.18]
- Consistency with SM within 7%
- Theory uncertainty (QCD scale and PDF+ $\alpha$ s) comparable to experimental and statistical uncertainties.
- CMS combination (bosonic + fermionic):  $\mu = 0.88 \pm 0.14$

#### Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013), pp. 88-119



 $\mu = \frac{\sigma \times BR}{(1 + \rho)R}$  $(\sigma \times BR)_{SM}$ 



Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013), pp. 120-144

# $\mathbb{Z}$  H production modes

- Study SM compatibility of production modes in each decay channel:
	- Production process ratios (assuming SM ratio of production cross-section )
- Isolate VBF production process:

 $\mu_{VBF}/\mu_{ggF+ttH} = 1.4^{+0.4}_{-0.3} (stat)^{+0.6}_{-0.4} (sys)$ 

• 3.3 σ evidence for VBF production







## LA H boson coupling measurement

Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013), pp. 88-119

- The measurement of the H boson couplings are implemented following the assumption:
	- Single resonance @125.5 GeV,
	- SM tensor structure (spin 0, CP-even)
	- narrow width approximation





# Spin/parity measurement

- Find observables in bosonic channels sensitive to spin and parity:
- Test several alternative spin-parity hypotheses J<sup>P</sup> (0<sup>-</sup>,1<sup>+</sup>,1<sup>-</sup>2<sup>+</sup>) compared to SM hypothesis: 0<sup>+</sup> and  $\frac{g}{q}$   $\frac{1}{q}$ observe which is favored by data

**The test statistic** to distinguish between the 2 hyp: is the ratio of profiled likelihoods (LLR) between the two hypotheses, (nuisance parameters profiled separately for<br>each hypothesis): each hypothesis): he 2 hyp: is

$$
q = \log \frac{\mathcal{L}(J^P = 0^+, \hat{\hat{\mu}}_{0^+}, \hat{\hat{\theta}}_{0^+})}{\mathcal{L}(J_{\text{alt}}^P, \hat{\hat{\mu}}_{J_{\text{alt}}^P}, \hat{\hat{\theta}}_{J_{\text{alt}}^P})}
$$

Exclusion of alternative model in favor of Standard Model 0+ hypothesis based on:

> Where  $\bm{{\mathsf{p}}}_0$  values are determined by integrating the distribution of the test-statistic q above the observed value. Typically, a value of 0.5 (corresponding to the median) is expected if the model agrees

Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013), pp. 120-144



$$
CL_s(J_{\text{alt}}^P) = \frac{p_0(J_{\text{alt}}^P)}{1 - p_0(0^+)}
$$

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

- On-shell  $X(J=1) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  not allowed by Landau-Yang theorem  $\rightarrow$  still worth testing with other decay modes
- J =2: KK graviton as a consistent effective description of a spin-2 particle At LO in minimal model, produced via gluon fusion, but 4% contribution of qq annihilation Higher-order QCD corrections could largely change this ratio resolution  $\frac{1}{2}$  consistent effective description of a spin  $\Omega$  in minimal modal produced via all  $\sim$  manumum moder, produced via grupi  $\eta$  ammination implici-order  $QCD$  corrections could rangery ena - Higgs boson pT spectrum small effect of the sp

 $\rightarrow$  consider models with different production modes admixture (scan fqq between 0 and 100%)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013), pp. 120-144

 $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ 

### **H**→γγ: SPIN analysis  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$

- $\cos \theta$  region between • Discriminating variable: polar angle of the 7-axis of the  $\,$ photons with respect to the Z-axis of the Collins-Soper frame
- Analysis optimized for the Spin measurement
	- $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  is a low S/B final state (inclusive ~3%)
- $\rightarrow$  Simultaneous fit to mγγ and  $|\cos \theta *|$  in signal region & the bkg m  $\gamma$   $\gamma$  in side-bands.

![](_page_34_Figure_6.jpeg)

Events / 0.1

 $\equiv$ vents / 0.1

 $\backslash P_{\gamma_{\ell}}$ 

1000

1500

2000

2500

Anty

**Data**  $J^P = 0^+$ **Background**

**Collins-Soper Frame** 

*ATLAS*

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **H**→γγ: SPIN analysis  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$

Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013), pp. 120-144

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

Events / 0.1

 $E$ vents / 0.1

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Analysis optimized for the Spin measurement
	- $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  is a low S/B final state (inclusive ~3%)

 $\rightarrow$  Simultaneous fit to m  $\gamma \gamma$  and  $|\cos \theta *|$  in signal region & the bkg m  $\gamma$   $\gamma$  in side-bands.

![](_page_35_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

### $H → ZZ^* → 4\ell : SPIN$  analysis Phys.L

- Ideal channel for spin/CP studies
	- Complete reconstruction of the event topology truction of the event topology
	- Clean (S/B ~1 to 2 depending on final state)
	- Several observable depending on spin/CP available le depending on spin/CP availa
- Use 5 production and decay angles as well as two invariant masses to build the Multivariate discriminant
- Two approaches:
	- Train BDT separately for each hypothesis
	- Use Matrix Element approach corrected for acceptance and pairing effects

![](_page_36_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_11.jpeg)

Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013), pp. 120-144

#### 15/1/14 Ludovica Aperio Bella **37**

![](_page_36_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

### $H → ZZ^* → 4\ell : SPIN$  analysis Phys.L

- Ideal channel for spin/CP studies
	- Complete reconstruction of the event topology truction of the event topology
	- Clean (S/B ~1 to 2 depending on final state)
	- Several observable depending on spin/CP available le depending on spin/CP availa
- Use 5 production and decay angles as well as two invariant masses to build the Multivariate discriminant
- Two approaches:
	- Train BDT separately for each hypothesis
	- Use ME corrected for acceptance and pairing effects

![](_page_37_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_11.jpeg)

Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013), pp. 120-144

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

## $H \rightarrow WW^* \rightarrow l \nu l \nu$ : SPIN analysis Phys. Lett. B

- Large sample statistics but no clear peak  $\log n$  post
- Restricted to "different flavor" (e $\mu$ ) events and no jets neur peux
- Use spin-sensitive variables  $(\Delta \phi_{\parallel} m_{\parallel} m_{\parallel} m_{\perp})$  to train BDTs and fit output of two BDTs (trained for each hypothesis).  $\varphi_{\parallel}$ ,  $\lim_{b\to\infty}$ ,  $p_{\perp}$ ,  $m_{\parallel}$ , to train buts.  $\lim_{n \to \infty}$  for each hypothesis).

![](_page_38_Figure_5.jpeg)

Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013), pp. 120-144

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

- Two BDT classifiers are used:
	- BDT0<sup>+</sup>: SM Higgs signal against the sum of all backgrounds
	- BDT $J^P$ :  $J^P$  signal against the sum of all backgrounds
- Perform 2D-fit in (BDT0+,BDTJ<sup>P</sup>)
- $p_T$  spectrum uncertainties found to have small effect

![](_page_38_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

## $H \rightarrow WW^* \rightarrow l \nu l \nu$ : SPIN analysis Phys. Lett. B

- Large sample statistics but no clear peak  $\log n$  post
- Restricted to "different flavor" (e $\mu$ ) events and no jets neur peux
- Use spin-sensitive variables  $(\Delta \phi_{\parallel} m_{\parallel} m_{\parallel} m_{\perp})$  to train BDTs and fit output of two BDTs (trained for each hypothesis).  $\varphi_{\parallel}$ ,  $\lim_{b\to\infty}$ ,  $p_{\perp}$ ,  $m_{\parallel}$ , to train buts.  $\lim_{n \to \infty}$  for each hypothesis).

![](_page_39_Figure_5.jpeg)

Visualization of the results in the post-fit background-subtracted plots  $\rightarrow$ Data more consistent with spin-0 with respect to spin-2

Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013), pp. 120-144

![](_page_39_Picture_8.jpeg)

The 2D distribution (BDT0,BDTJ<sup>P</sup>) is remapped into a 1D distribution, with the bins ordered in increasing number of expected signal events. Empty bins (expected content <0.1) are removed.

![](_page_39_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)

**UNIVERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM** 

Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013), pp. 120-144

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

- A note on systematic uncertainties in the combination:
	- Common systematic correlated across the channels.
	- effect of mass measurement uncertainty negligible
		- overall impact (by comparing results w/ and w/o profiling) estimated to be  $<$ 0.3  $\sigma$
	- Higgs boson pT spectrum small effect  $< 0.1 \sigma$

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_3.jpeg)

In observation of such signatures in current data indicates in current data indicates in current data indicates

![](_page_41_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Properties of discovered Higgs boson consistent with Standard Model **hypothesis.** 
	- But no definitive statement on its nature possible, yet.
- $\cdot$  All nos alifiers fleed to be explored.<br>I rate to the install into its nature. • All possible decay channels need to be explored.
	- $\overline{q}$ • Rare decay modes help to gain insight into its nature.

![](_page_41_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

ATLAS-CONF-2013-009

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Loop-induced decay ⇒ sensitive to BSM particle

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Low yield  $(S_{exp} \sim 15)$  and S/B  $(-0.25\%)$ 
	- Good mass resolution  $(\sigma m/m 1.49)$  $(σ m/m~1.4%)$
	- Total bkg fitted to data  $(\Delta m = mIly - mII)$   $\frac{1}{5}$   $25\frac{1}{5}$
	- **no excess:**  $\mu$  <18.2(13.5) @95%  $\frac{5}{2}$ **CL for mH=125 GeV ।**

4/3 -8

!

![](_page_42_Figure_8.jpeg)

! f

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

ATLAS-CONF-2013-010 [2  $\overline{a}$  $\mathcal{V}^{\text{-1}}$ נ constant G<sup>µ</sup> to describe the couplings of the Higgs bosons. A general form of the Higgs  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$  $T = \mu \mu$ 

- Direct measurement Yukawa coupling to 2<sup>nd</sup> gen fermions. be useful when discussing extensions of the SM, is given in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  , is given in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  $U(x) = \frac{1}{2}$  $f(x)$  and  $f(x)$  and  $f(x)$  and  $f(x)$  masses  $\alpha$  the UQUE superior  $\alpha$  masses. Since the U(1) the weak vector bosons  $\epsilon$  and the fermions, which is  $\epsilon$
- Low yield  $(S_{exp} \sim 38)$  and S/B ( $\sim 0.2\%$ )
- 
- 

![](_page_43_Picture_7.jpeg)

• **no excess:** μ**<9.8 (8.2) @95% CL for mH=125 GeV**  the fermion masses? In fact, we can also generate the fermion masses using the same scalar The equations for the field rotation which lead to the physical gauge bosons, define the

![](_page_43_Figure_9.jpeg)

2 •

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

# $\mathbf{H}$  H to invisible

- While the invisible branching fraction for a SM Higgs boson is too small to be accessible  $\rightarrow$  Observation would be direct indication of New Physics!
	- Higgs couplings to non SM stable or long lived particles is excellent way to search for new physics, in particular Dark Matter through so called Higgs portal models.

![](_page_44_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Search for invisible decays of the Higgs boson produced in association with a Z boson.
- The search performed by looking for excess in events with 2 leptons and high  $E_T$  miss
- **no excess**  $\Rightarrow$   $\sigma$  \*BRinv (<35 fb) for **m**<sub>H</sub>=115-300 GeV **and BRinv <65% obs (84% exp) @ 125 GeV**

### ATLAS-CONF-2013-011 [18 fb-1]

![](_page_44_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Wide program of H analyses at ATLAS
- **A SM-like Higgs boson with mass ~125 GeV was discovered using LHC Run1 data**
- Measured spin/parity (0+) and couplings to SM particles are consistent with SM expectations No evidence of non-standard properties
	- No invisible decays
	- No hint of enhancements in suppressed channels  $(\mu \mu, Z\gamma)$
	- No hint of additional 2HDM bosons with larger masses
- This particle opens up a fabulous new area of physics
- Look forward LHC Run II/III and HL-LHC
	- Factor ~5–6 more luminosity compared to Run 1
	- Factor ~2.1 4.7 increase in cross section from 8TeV to 14TeV
	- Up to a factor of  $~1$ –5 improvement in statistical sensitivity

![](_page_45_Picture_12.jpeg)

#### *ATLAS* Simulation Preliminary

 $\sqrt{s}$  = 14 TeV:  $\int L dt = 300$  fb<sup>-1</sup>;  $\int L dt = 3000$  fb<sup>-1</sup>

![](_page_45_Picture_15.jpeg)

## BACKUP

15/1/14 Ludovica Aperio Bella **47** 

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Excellent LHC performance during Run I

- 2011: 4.8 fb-1 at 7 TeV
- 2012: 20.7 fb-1 at 8 TeV
- Challenging data taking condition
	- $\rightarrow$  Pile-up in 2012

 $\rightarrow$  Maintain excellent performance by improved algorithms

![](_page_47_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **K:** The Standard Model H boson

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

The Standard Model of particle physics is an impressively successful theory. In the SM the electromagnetic and weak interactions are unified through the symmetry  $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ , where the carriers are massless.

 $\rightarrow$  This symmetry is spontaneously broken through the non-vanishing vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field  $\rightarrow$  Three of the four degrees of freedom of the Higgs field are becoming the longitudinal polarizations of the vector bosons, the fourth is the Higgs boson.

⇒ The Higgs boson is a particle postulated in the mid-1960s to complete the Standard Model (SM) of particle interactions.

A long way since then ..

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

Distinctive signature:

- two forward jets (tagging jets)
- little (jet) activity in central region (central jet veto)

![](_page_49_Figure_6.jpeg)

Toni Baroncelli: Rare Higgs Decays in ATLAS

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Simple final state: 2 high- $p_T$  isolated photons
	- E<sub>T</sub> ( $\gamma$  1,  $\gamma$  2) > 40, 30 GeV (20/20 for 7 TeV)
- Search for narrow peak in m<sub>γγ</sub>
- Very good mass resolution ~1.7 GeV.
	- Stable with time and pile-up.
- Main background: **γγ** continuum
	- $\gamma \gamma$  [~75%],  $\gamma$ -jet and jet-jet [~25%]
	- Background extrapolated from side-bands in data:
- S/B~3% in mass window ~125 GeV with 90% signal
- To enhance the sensitivity  $(-40\%)$ : classification into 14 categories with different S/B (1-60%) and different resolutions (1.4-2.5 GeV) --> optimized for coupling measurements
	- background model for each category chosen on MC to minimize signal bias.
- Simultaneous fit Signal + background
	- Signal strength and H mass are free parameter on the fit

![](_page_50_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Most significant deviation from background only hypothesis at mH  $=126.5$  GeV:
- Local significance: **7.4**σ (with 4.1σ expected) @ mH=126.5 GeV
	- Inclusive analysis: 6.1  $\sigma$  (with 2.9  $\sigma$ expected)
- Mass measurement: **126.8 ± 0.2 (stat) ± 0.7 (syst) GeV**
- Systematics completely dominated by the photon energy scale<br>uncertainty (mainly from the extrapolation of the photon energy *scale from Z->ee ,* presampler energy scale *and material modeling)*
- Rate with respect to Standard Model:  $\mu$  = **1.65** ± **0.24** (stat)<sup>+0.25</sup><sub>-0.18</sub> (syst)
	- 2.3  $\sigma$  deviation from the Standard Model
- 2.0<sup>σ</sup>excess for the VBF production mH=126.5 GeV
- Couplings in agreement with SM  $\frac{1}{\text{Signal strength}}$  expectation within 2  $\sigma$

∃.

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_2.jpeg)

the observation of **March at the EPS-HEP conference in Summer 2013** and Summer 2011 and Summer 2011 and *Marko Mikuž* experiment to be more signal-like than the observation as a function of *mH* presented at December 2011

Venice, March 7, 2013 **1998** Marko Mikuž: The Higgs Hunt with ATLAS **53** experiment to be more signal-like than the observation as a function of *mH* reported in the spring 2012

### *Higgs Boson Property Measurements*

- 1. Higgs boson mass  $(M_H)$  & decay width  $(\Gamma_H)$
- 2. Higgs couplings to gauge bosons  $(g_V)$  and fermions  $(g_F)$
- 3. Higgs boson quantum numbers  $J^{PC}$  and tensor structure
- 4. Higgs potential Higgs self-coupling  $(\lambda)$

*The Standard Model Lagrangian - Higgs sector*

 $\mathcal{L}_{SM}=D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D_{\mu}H+\mu^{2}H^{\dagger}H-\frac{\lambda}{2}$  $(H^{\dagger}H)^{2} - (y_{ij}H\bar{\psi}_{i}\psi_{j} + \text{h.c.})$ 

![](_page_53_Figure_7.jpeg)

 $m_H = \sqrt{2}\mu = \sqrt{\lambda}v$  (*v* = vacuum expectation value)

2 (SM) Lagrangian and find the physics beyond the Standard Model (BSM). The ultimate goal of particle physics of today is to fix the Standard Model

K. Cranme

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

# $\gamma$  : Event Categories

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

To enhance the sensitivity  $(-40\%)$ : classification into 14 categories with different S/B (1-60%) and different resolutions (1.4-2.5 GeV) --> optimized for coupling measurements • background model for each category chosen on MC to minimize signal bias

![](_page_54_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### 15/1/14 Ludovica Aperio Bella **55**

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

### $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ : Embedding  $\overline{z}$   $\overline{z}$  : Embedding

- Embedding: all properties of a  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$  event except the taus are modeled by  $Z \rightarrow$  $\mu$   $\mu$  data
- Remove  $\mu$  from data
	- Simulate  $\tau$  including spin
	- Add  $\tau$  in place of the  $\mu$
- ! Major advantages of embedding: Z-boson kinematics, jets, MET resolution, pile-up, and VBF/EWK production are directly modeled by data

![](_page_55_Figure_7.jpeg)

 $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$  with event properties from data

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

# $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ : Inputs to the BDT

![](_page_56_Figure_2.jpeg)

- **Resonance properties** 
	- $-$  m(ττ),  $\Delta$ R(ττ), etc
- **VBF** topology
	- m<sub>ii</sub>, Δη<sub>ii</sub>, etc
	- **Event activity** 
		- Scalar & vector  $P_T$ -sum
	- **Event topology** 
		- $-$  m<sub>r</sub>, object centralities,  $P_T(\tau_1)/P_T(\tau_2)$ , etc
- **Number of variables** 
	- $-$  VBF: 7-9
	- Boosted: 6-9

![](_page_57_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Leading Systematic Uncertainties:

![](_page_57_Picture_78.jpeg)

*Signal strength*  $\mu = \frac{\sigma_{measured}}{2}$  $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle SM}$ 

! Leading theory uncertainty is due to effect of top, bottom, charm quark masses in gluon-gluon loop, affecting  $P_T(H)$  spectrum in gluon fusion produced H (~30%)

15

- ! Working with the LHC Higgs Cross Section group to come up with the best way to treat these theory systematics
- Leading experimental uncertainties are due to background normalizations (up to  $\sim$ 20%)

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

# H→ττ: Results BDT score

• The log(Signal/Bkgd) for each<br>event's BDT-score bin event's BDT-score bin

> Numbers of events in highest BDT-score bin

![](_page_58_Picture_151.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_152.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $J^P = 0^+$  $J^P = 0$ 

Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013), pp. 120-144

## Spin/parity measurement

- Find observables in bosonic channels sensitive to spin and parity
- Test several alternative spin-parity hypotheses J<sup>P</sup>  $(0, 1, 1, 1, 2)$  compared to SM hypothesis:  $0<sup>+</sup>$  and observe which is favored by data
- Production modes
	- spin-2 : test production mechanism via combination of ggF  $\&$ qqbar annihilation
	- spin-1 : signal produce via qqbar annihilation (ggF forbidden)
	- spin-0 : ggF (qqbar annihilation negligible)

0+/0- (only ZZ): 97.8% CL 0+/1+ (ZZ +WW): 99.97% CL 0+/1- (ZZ+WW): 99.7% CL  $0+/2+$  ( $\gamma \gamma + ZZ+WW$ )>99.9% CL

All spin hypotheses disfavored compared to  $0^+$  at  $> 95\%$ 

![](_page_59_Figure_10.jpeg)

*ATLAS*  $H \rightarrow 77^* \rightarrow 4l$  $\sqrt{s}$  = 7 TeV  $\int L dt = 4.6$  fb<sup>-1</sup>  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV  $\int L dt = 20.7$  fb

0.25**├** *ATLAS* — Data

0.2

![](_page_59_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

- M<sub>H</sub> the only parameter not fixed in the Standard Model. Fixes  $\lambda = \frac{M_H}{v^2}$ .  $M_H^2$  $v^2$
- Most precisely determined with  $H\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  and 4 lepton channels.

 $δM<sub>H</sub>$  precision at 0.3% level (PDG2013:  $δM<sub>W</sub>$  187ppm,  $δM<sub>Z</sub>$  23ppm,  $δM<sub>top</sub>$  0.5%).

![](_page_60_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **K: Signal Strength ATLAS-CMS**

Consistent with the SM prediction for both ATLAS and CMS with precision about 15% level.

![](_page_61_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Higgs mass precision  $\Delta M_H \sim 100$  (50) MeV.
- Access to top-Yukawa coupling via ttH, and rare decay  $H\rightarrow\mu\mu$ .
- 00000 Coupling precision of 10 to 5% reachable (even few% in  $\kappa_{\gamma}/\kappa_Z$ ).
	- Detector performances (trigger, lepton-id, fake,  $\tau/b$ -id) are crucial.<sup>5</sup>
	- Theory uncertainty dominates challenge for theorists!

![](_page_62_Figure_8.jpeg)

10

100

luminosity ratio

ratio

 gg aa<br>ασ

ratios of LHC parton luminosities: 14 TeV / 8 TeV and 33 TeV / 8 TeV

1000

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_3.jpeg)