Birmingham High Energy Physics Group - Particle Physics Seminar, University of Birmingham, 08.06.2016

Lattice QCD

Andreas Jüttner



Outline

- 1. Lattice QCD (why and what)
- 2. Precision flavour physics
- 3. $(g-2)_{\mu}$ on the lattice
- 4. Pushing the frontiers (QED+QCD, rare decays)

UK Lattice community

- Cambridge
- Edinburgh
- Glasgow
- Liverpool
- Oxford
- Plymouth
- Jarious collaborations Southampton
- Swansea

- QCD flavour phenomenology
- QCD spectra
- BSM models (non-perturbatively)
- finite-T, finite-μ
- developments in quantum field theory, algorithms computing and hardware

34th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory

UKQCD, HotQCD, HPQCD

University of Southampton 24-30 July 2016



Motivation

- Standard Model of elementary particle physics describes electromagnetic, weak and strong (QCD) interactions consistently in terms of a renormalisable quantum field theory
- but there is substantial phenomenological evidence that it can't be the whole story: dark matter, CP-violation, ... indicate that there must be sth. else
- despite decades of experimental and theoretical efforts we have not found a smoking gun

Motivation

- searches for new physics: direct vs. indirect search:
 - 'bump in the spectrum'
 - SM provides correlation between processes experiment + theory to over-constrain SM
- hadronic (QCD) uncertainties dominating error budget
- lattice QCD can in principle provide the relevant input and is becoming increasingly precise in its predictions

 $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

First observed by LHCb, CMS







$$B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$

Standard Model prediction:

• Loop suppressed in the SM (FCNC) → sensitive to non-SM interaction?



QCD





Necco & Sommer NPB 622 (2002)

Lattice QCD

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\,\mu\nu} + \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f \left(i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_f \right) \psi_f$$

Free parameters:

- gauge coupling $g \rightarrow \alpha_s = g^2/4\pi$
- quark masses $m_f = u, d, s, c, b, t$
- Lagrangian of massless gluons and almost massless quarks
- what experiment sees are bound states, e.g. $m_{\pi}, m_P \gg m_{u,d}$
- underlying physics non-perturbative

Path integral quantisation:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \langle 0|O|0\rangle &=& \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}\int \mathcal{D}[U,\psi,\bar{\psi}]Oe^{-iS_{\mathsf{lat}}[U,\psi,\bar{\psi}]} \\ \langle 0|O|0\rangle &=& \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}\int \mathcal{D}[U,\psi,\bar{\psi}]Oe^{-S_{\mathsf{lat}}[U,\psi,\bar{\psi}]} \end{array} \begin{array}{lll} \text{Euclidean space-time} \\ & & \\$$



finite volume, space-time grid (IR and UV regulators) $\propto L^{-1} \propto a^{-1}$

- → well defined, finite dimensional Euclidean path integral
- \rightarrow from first principles

Lattice QCD

- gauge-invariant regularisation (Wilson 1974)
- naively: replace derivatives by finite differences, integrals by sums
- finite volume lattice path integral still over large number of degrees of freedom > O(10¹⁰)
- Evaluate discretised path integral by means of Markov Chain Monte Carlo on state-of-the-art HPC installations
- UK computing time via STFC's DiRAC consortium



Euclidean correlation function

 $\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(t)\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)^{\dagger}|0\rangle = \frac{1}{Z}\int \mathcal{D}[\bar{\psi},\psi,U]\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(t)\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)^{\dagger}e^{-S[\bar{\psi},\psi,U]}$

 $\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(t)\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)^{\dagger}|0\rangle = \sum_{\vec{x},n} \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(x)|n\rangle \langle n|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}^{\dagger}(0)|0\rangle$ **two-point function** $= \sum_{n} |\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)|n\rangle|^2 e^{-E_n t_x}$

$$\stackrel{t \to \infty}{=} |\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)|B_s\rangle|^2 e^{-m_{B_s}t_x}$$

extract physical properties from fits to simulation data:

- normalisation → matrix element (e.g. decay constant)
- time-dependence → particle spectrum (e.g. meson mass)
- stat. errors from MC sampling over N field configurations

$$\langle \mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}^{\dagger} \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}^{\dagger} \right]_{n}$$

(bootstrap, jackknife error analysis, autocorrelation analysis, ...)



State of the art of lattice QCD simulations

What we can do

- simulations of QCD with dynamical (sea) *u,d,s,c* quarks with masses as found in nature → N_f = 2, 2 + 1, 2 + 1 + 1
- bottom only as valence quark
- cut-off $a^{-1} \leq 4 \text{GeV}$
- volume $L \leq 6fm$

Parameter tuning

start from *educated guesses* and:

- tune light quark mass *am*¹ such that
- tune strange quark mass such that
- determine physical lattice spacing

$$\frac{am_{\pi}}{am_{P}} = \frac{m_{\pi}^{PDG}}{m_{P}^{PDG}}$$

$$\frac{am_{\pi}}{am_{K}} = \frac{m_{\pi}^{PDG}}{m_{K}^{PDG}}$$
$$a = \frac{af_{\pi}}{f_{\pi}^{PDG}}$$

IMPORTANT: once the QCD-parameters are *tuned* no further parameters need to be fixed and we can make fully predictive simulations of QCD



action density of RBC/UKQCD physical point DWF ensemble

benchmark - the hadron spectrum



lattice - systematics

In practice one needs to control a number of sources of systematic uncertainties, most notably:



14

• **finite volume errors** (box size *L*)



In QCD for simple ME
$$\propto e^{-m_{\pi}L} \propto O(1\%)$$

more complicated for processes with several hadrons in initial or final state Lüscher Commun.Math.Phys. 105 (1986) 153-188, Nucl. Phys. B354, 531 (1991)

a state-of-the-art lattice



need to keep

 $a^{-1} \ll relevant scales \ll L^{-1}$

- for m_{π} =140MeV the constraint for controlled finite volume effects of $m_{\pi}L \ge 4$ suggests L ≈ 6 fm
- for charm quarks to be well resolved *am_c* < 1 *e.g. a*⁻¹ larger than ≈2.5GeV needed
- lattices with *L*/*a*≥80 needed

Fulfilling all the constraints is just starting to happen

(e.g. first 96³×192 have been generated (MILC)) in the meantime most collaborations

- weaken the finite volume effects by simulating unphysically heavy pions
- extrapolate from coarser lattices relying on assumptions for functional form of cutoff effects

Lattice pheno - what's possible

• Standard:

- meson ME with single incoming and / or outgoing pseudo-scalar states $\pi, K, D_{(s)}, B_{(s)} \rightarrow \text{QCD} - \text{vacuum}, \pi \rightarrow \pi, K \rightarrow \pi, D \rightarrow K, B \rightarrow \pi, ..., B_K, (B_D), B_B$
- QCD parameters: quark masses, strong coupling constant
- meson/baryon spectroscopy of stable (in QCD) states

• Challenging:

- two initial/final hadronic states, one channel $\pi\pi \to \pi\pi, K\pi \to K\pi, K \to \pi\pi, ...$
- elm. effects in spectra
- long-distance contributions in e.g. rare Kaon decays, K-mixing

Very challenging - new ideas needed/no clue:

- multi-channel final states (hadronic D, B) (e.g. Hansen, Sharpe PRD86, 016007 (2012))
- transition MEs with unstable in/out states (Briceño et al. arXiv:1406.5965)
- electromagnetic effects in hadronic MEs



Quark Flavour Physics

e.g tree level leptonic *B* decay:



Assumed factorisation: $\Gamma_{exp.} \stackrel{???}{=} V_{CKM}(WEAK)(EM)(STRONG)$



Experimental measurement + theory prediction allows for extraction of CKM MEs

Flavour Physics

Determine CKM elements ←→ (indirect) test of SM:

- over-determine elements of V_{CKM} and check consistency of CKM paradigm
- unitarity tests:
 - rows and columns are (in SM) complex unit vectors
 - rows (columns) are orthogonal to other rows (columns)

violation of unitarity would indicate non-SM physics

$$V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 \stackrel{?}{=} 1 \qquad \sum_{U=u,c,t} V_{Ud}V_{Ub}^* \stackrel{?}{=} 0$$

row-test
$$U=u,c,t \text{ triangle-test}$$

• Which channels still allow room for NP? How much NP would be compatible with measurements? What would be the properties of NP?

Lattice flavour physics and CKM



"tree" kaon/pion decays



Standard calculations and results - FLAG

Flavour Lattice Averaging Group "What's currently the best lattice value for a particular quantity?" FLAG-1 (Eur. Phys. J. C71 (2011) 1695) FLAG-2 (http://itpwiki.unibe.ch/flag/, Eur.Phys.J. C74 (2014) 2890) FLAG-3 - working on it

• quantities:

 $m_{u,d,s,c,b}$

 $f_K/f_{\pi}, f_{+}^{K\pi}(0), B_K, SU(2) \text{ and } SU(3) \text{ LECs}$ $f_{D_{(s)}}, f_{B_{(s)}}, B_{B_{(s)}}, B_{(s)} - \text{ and } D_{(s)} - \text{semileptonics}$ α_s

- summary of results
 - evaluation according to FLAG quality criteria (colour coding)
 - averages of best values where possible
 - detailed summary of properties of individual simulations

"tree" kaon/pion decays



$$|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 \approx |V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 \stackrel{?}{=} 1$$

Experimental results:

 $|V_{us}|f_{+}(0) = 0.2163(5)$ $\frac{f_{K}}{f_{\pi}} \frac{|V_{us}|}{|V_{ud}|} = 0.2758(5)$

FLAVIA Kaon WG EPJ C 69, 399-424 (2010) KTeV, Istra, KLOE, NA48



1.0000(6)

0.9989(16)

Eur.Phys.J. C74 (2014) 2890

arXiv:1310.8555

0.987(10)

1.029(35)

First row unitarity:

- $f_{+}^{K\pi}(0)$ and $|V_{ud}|$ from experiment
- f_K/f_{π} and $|V_{ud}|$ from experiment
- $f_+^{K\pi}(0)$ and f_K/f_π from lattice

 $N_{f}=2+1$

 $N_f=2$

0.9993(5)

1.0004(10)

$$|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 \approx |V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 \stackrel{?}{=} 1$$

FLAG Vus Working Group (Boyle, Kaneko, Simula)

$$|V_{us}|f_{+}^{K^{0}\pi^{-}}(0) = 0.2163(5) \qquad \frac{f_{K^{+}}}{f_{\pi^{+}}} \frac{|V_{us}|}{|V_{ud}|} = 0.2758(5) \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{FLAVIANet Kaon WG} \\ \text{EPJ C 69, 399-424 (2010)} \\ \underline{arXiv:1005.2323} \end{array}$$



high precision test of SM unitarity - no worrisome tension at sub-percent-level

arXiv:1005.2323

Leptonic D_(s) meson decays



Results for $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$

 $f_D|V_{cd}| = 45.91(1.05) \text{ MeV}, \quad f_{D_s}|V_{cs}| = 250.9(4.0) \text{ MeV} \text{ PDG}$



Leptonic beauty decays





28

Semileptonic beauty decays

Kinematical reach limited in lattice QCD \rightarrow extract value of V_{ub} from simultaneous analysis of exp. and lattice data

$$q^{2} = (E_{B} - E_{\text{light}})^{2} - (\vec{p}_{B} - \vec{p}_{\text{light}})^{2} \qquad \vec{p} = \frac{2\pi}{L}\vec{n}$$



Results for | V_{ub} |



- tension between betw. incl. and excl. semileptonic decays
- slight tension in exp data Belle/BaBar
- looking forward to Belle II

Flavour summary

- (non-rare) Lattice Flavour Physics is a mature research field
- many independent groups competing
- sub-percent precision for some quantities
- FLAG summarises particularly mature quantities for use in SM and BSM phenomenology (FLAG-3 very very soon)

$$(g-2)_{\mu}$$

$$\langle e(\vec{p}')|j_{\nu}|e(\vec{p}')\rangle = -e\,\bar{u}(\vec{p}')\left[F_{1}(q^{2})\gamma_{\nu} + i\frac{F_{2}(q^{2})}{4m}[\gamma_{\nu},\gamma_{\rho}]q_{\rho}\right]u(\vec{p})$$

$$F_{2}(0) = \frac{g-2}{2} \equiv a_{\mu}$$
PDC 2013
$$PDC 2013$$

$$F_{2}(0) = \frac{g-2}{2} \equiv a_{\mu}$$

$$(p-1) = \frac{16584718.95 \ 0.08}{EW \ 153.6 \ 1.0}$$

$$(p-1) = \frac{16584718.95 \ 0.08}{EW \ 153.6 \ 1.0}$$

$$(p-1) = \frac{16584718.95 \ 0.08}{EW \ 153.6 \ 1.0}$$

$$(p-1) = \frac{16584718.95 \ 0.08}{EW \ 153.6 \ 1.0}$$

$$(p-1) = \frac{16584718.95 \ 0.08}{EW \ 153.6 \ 1.0}$$

$$(p-1) = \frac{16584718.95 \ 0.08}{EW \ 153.6 \ 1.0}$$

$$(p-1) = \frac{16584718.95 \ 0.08}{EW \ 153.6 \ 1.0}$$

$$(p-1) = \frac{16584718.95 \ 0.08}{EW \ 153.6 \ 1.0}$$

$$(p-1) = \frac{16584718.95 \ 0.08}{EW \ 153.6 \ 1.0}$$

$$(p-1) = \frac{16591803 \ 49}{EXP \ 116592091 \ 63}$$

 \rightarrow

- discrepancy $> 3\sigma$
- new experiments (Fermilab, J-PARC)
- HVP LO from e⁺e⁻→hadrons
- HVP LBL model based

warrants any attempt at first principles computation

LOHVP

$$\mu = 4\alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} dQ^{2} f(Q^{2}) \left(\Pi(Q^{2}) - \Pi(0) \right)$$
Euclidean momental
 $\pi_{\mu\nu}(Q) = \int d^{4}x e^{-iQx} \left(\underbrace{j_{\mu}(x)j_{\nu}(0)}_{\text{vector-vector}} \right)$
Fit and signal/noise ratio \rightarrow stat. error
integrand peaked at small Q²
which is inaccessible on current
lattices due to $p_{i} \sim 2\pi/L$
 Π not defined at Q² = 0
quark-disconnected contributions

 $\zeta q = p' - p, \nu$

isospin breaking •

2

But last years have seen tremendous progress !!!

LO HVP



	arXiv:1601.03071	JHEP 1604 (2016) 063 <u>arXiv:1602.01767</u> <u>arXiv:1512.09054</u>
$a_\mu \ x \ 10^{10}$	HPQCD	RBC/UKQCD
light	598(11)	work in progress
strange	53.4(6)	52.4(2.1)
charm	14.4(4)	work in progress
disconnected		-9.6(3.3)(2.3)
all	666(6)(12)	
SM OK exp all	720(7)	720(7)

- strange, charm and bottom sufficiently precisely known
- getting the disconnected in full LQCD was a big achievement (previously considered show stopper)

• first results (HPQCD) indicate tension confirmed Need to concentrate on:

- stat. error on light contribution
- strong and elm. isospin breaking effects (later)

There is significant work on light-by-light going on as well!

Blum et al., Phys.Rev. D93 (2016) no.1, 014503 <u>arXiv:1510.07100</u> Green et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 115 (2015) no.22, 222003 <u>arXiv:1507.01577</u>

Beyond precision lattice QCD

Go beyond factorisation

$$\Gamma_{\text{exp.}} \stackrel{???}{=} V_{\text{CKM}}(\text{WEAK}) (\text{EM})(\text{STRONG})$$

treat jointly in lattice QCD+QED

Go beyond short distance physics



- Precision on MEs such that EM and strong isospin effects important remember: so far mostly only QCD (*m_l=m_u=m_d*, *α_{EM}=0*)
- we should go beyond EFT treatment (e.g. replace ChPT estimates)
- need to understand how this can be done conceptually
- already many results for spectroscopic quantities but not for matrix elements
- finite size effects with photons pose a substantial problem

Isospin corrections are important

• e.g. $K \to \pi l \nu$: $\Gamma_{K \to \pi l \nu} = C_K^2 \frac{G_F^2 m_K^5}{192\pi^2} S_{\rm EW} (1 + \Delta_{SU(2)} + \Delta_{\rm EM})^2 I |f_+^{K\pi}(0)|^2 |V_{us}|^2$

3% Kastner & Neufeld Eur. Phys. J. C 57 (2008) 541

• precision now such that corrections need to be improved:

		Approx contrib to % err					
Mode	$V_{us} f_+(0)$	$\% \ \mathrm{err}$	BR	τ	Δ	Ι	2014
K_{Le3}	0.2163(6)	0.26	0.09	0.20	0.11	0.05	M
$K_{L\mu3}$	0.2166(6)	0.28	0.15	0.18	0.11	0.06	
K_{Se3}	0.2155(13)	0.61	0.60	0.02	0.11	0.05	lson
K_{e3}^{\pm}	0.2172(8)	0.36	0.27	0.06	0.23	0.05	Mou
$K_{\mu 3}^{\pm}$	0.2170(11)	0.51	0.45	0.06	0.23	0.06	-

QCD+QED

Action:

$$S[U, A, \bar{\psi}, \psi] = S_g[U; g] + S_\gamma[A] + \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f D[U, A; e, q_f, m_f] \psi_f$$
$$S_\gamma^{naive} = -\frac{a^4}{4} \sum_{\mu, \nu, x} \left(\partial_\mu A_{\nu, x} - \partial_\nu A_{\mu, x}\right)^2$$

- MC simulation of discretised theory
- QCD has a mass gap \rightarrow finite volume effects $\propto e^{-m_{\pi}L}$ (for simple MEs)
- photon is massless and interacts over long range
 → power-like finite volume effects ∝ 1/L, 1/L², ... from exchange of photon around torus
- sufficiently large volumes currently not feasible, so use effective field theory to subtract finite volume effects

QCD+QED

BMW Collaboration Science 347 (2015) 1452-1455 <u>arXiv:1406.4088</u>

Example: FV correction to mass of a spin-1/2 particle in QED

analytically compute the difference of the *finite volume* and *infinite volume* self energies Σ :

$$m^{2}(T,L) \stackrel{T,L\to\infty}{\propto} m^{2} \left\{ 1 - q^{2}\alpha \left[\frac{\kappa}{2mL} \left(1 + \frac{2}{mL} \right) - \frac{3\pi}{(mL)^{3}} \right] \right\}$$

leading behaviour universal in κ (structure- and spin-independent)



QCD+QED: baryon mass splitting

- relative neutron-proton mass difference found in nature 0.14%
- the value has significant implication for nature
 - smaller value \rightarrow inverse β -decay of H
 - much larger value \rightarrow faster β -decay for neutrons in BBN



BMW carried out simulations of $N_f = 1+1+1+1 \ QCD+QED$ simulations and determined the light baryon isospin splitting

BMW Collaboration Science 347 (2015) 1452-1455 arXiv:1406.4088

• leptonic decay at $O(\alpha^0)$:

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \to l^+ \nu_l) = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{ud}|^2 f_\pi^2}{8\pi} m_\pi m_l^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_l^2}{m_\pi^2}\right)^2$$

• including elm. effects @ $O(\alpha)$:

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \to l^+ \nu_l(\gamma)) = \Gamma(\pi^+ \to l^+ \nu_l) + \Gamma(\pi^+ \to l^+ \nu_l \gamma)$$
$$\equiv \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1$$

IR div. cancel between terms on r.h.s. between virtual and real photons (Bloch Nordsieck)

Carrasco et al. PRD 91 074506 (2015) <u>arXiv:1502.00257</u>

• cut on small photon momentum $< \Delta E \rightarrow \gamma$ sees point-like π $\Delta E \approx 20$ MeV experimentally accessible and π point like

point approximation

$$\Gamma(\Delta E) = \lim_{V \to \infty} (\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_0^{\text{pt}}) + \lim_{V \to \infty} (\Gamma_0^{\text{pt}} + \Gamma_1^{\text{pt}}(\Delta E))$$

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \rightarrow l^+ \nu_l) \qquad \Gamma(\pi^+ \rightarrow l^+ \nu_l \gamma(\Delta E))$ lattice and analytical analytically in $V \rightarrow \infty$ finite V

both terms separately IR finite, gauge invariant on its own

and the second

Q>-- <>-- <>--

B-B-B

• analytical calculation for pt. approximation is done:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi-\ell-\nu_{\ell}} = i G_F f_{\pi} V_{ud}^* \left\{ (\partial_{\mu} - i e A_{\mu}) \pi \right\} \left\{ \bar{\psi}_{\nu_{\ell}} \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \psi_{\ell} \right\} + \text{H.C.}$$

24^{3;} $m_π$ ≈500MeV



- first time ever conceptually clean attempt of calculation of leptonic decay at O(α)
- disconnected pieces need to be included
- Γ_0 works, now needs to be combined wt. analytical results for $\Gamma_0^{\text{pt}}, \Gamma_1^{\text{pt}}(\Delta E)$
- ~20% stat. error would be sufficient for use in phenomenology

QCD+QED applications

• start with light flavour matrix elements f_{π} , f_{K} , $f_{+}(0)$, ...

 $\Gamma_{K\to\pi l\nu} = C_K^2 \frac{G_F^2 m_K^5}{192\pi^2} S_{\rm EW} (1 + \Delta_{SU(2)} + \Delta_{\rm EM})^2 I \left| f_+^{K\pi}(0) \right|^2 \left| V_{us} \right|^2$ $\langle \pi(p_{\pi})|V_{\mu}(0)|K(p_{K})\rangle = f_{+}^{K\pi}(q^{2})(p_{K}+p_{\pi})_{\mu} + f_{-}^{K\pi}(q^{2})(p_{K}-p_{\pi})_{\mu}$

lattice predictions of leading hadronic contribution to muon g-2



- lattice (isospin symmetric, $\alpha_{\rm EM}=0$ is getting competitive with experimental determination $(e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons)$
- next step would be inclusion of isospin breaking effects
- inclusion of QED effects will be one of the big challenges over the next years 44



$$\epsilon_{K} = \frac{A(K_{L} \to (\pi\pi)_{I=0})}{A(K_{S} \to (\pi\pi)_{I=0})} = e^{i\Phi_{\varepsilon}} \sin \phi_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\operatorname{Im}\langle \bar{K}^{0} | H_{W}^{\Delta S=2} | K^{0} \rangle}{\Delta M_{K}} + \frac{L.D. \text{ effects}}{Buras, Guadagnoli PRD 78 (2008)}_{Buras, Guadagnoli, Isidori, PLB 688 (2010)} \right)$$

Long Distance effects amount to O(5%), so certainly worth considering on the lattice



Beyond short distance: e.g.
$$\Delta M_K$$

$$\Delta M_K = m_{K_S} - m_{K_L} = 2 \operatorname{Re} M_{00} \qquad M_{\overline{0}0} = \mathcal{P} \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\langle \overline{K^0} | H_W | \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda | H_W | K^0 \rangle}{m_K - E_{\lambda}}$$

- experimentally $\Delta M_K = 3.483(6) \times 10^{-12} \text{MeV}$ (PDG)
- suppressed by 14 orders of magnitude with respect to QCD \rightarrow poses strong BSM constraints (e.g. $(1/\Lambda)^2 \ \bar{s}d\bar{s}d$ BSM contribution) knowing ΔM_K at 10%-level $\rightarrow \Lambda \geq 10^4 \text{TeV}$
- SD about 70% of experimental value rest LD?
- PT large contributions at μ~m_c where PT turns out to converge badly (NLO->NNLO constitutes 36% correction)Brod, Gorbahn PRL 108 121801 (2012) arXiv:1108.2036



long distance effects – ΔM_K

N. Christ et al. PRD 88 (2013) 014508 <u>arXiv:1212.5931</u> Bai et al. PRL 113 (2014) 112003 <u>arXiv:1406.0916</u>

$$M_{\bar{0}0} = \mathcal{P}\sum_{\lambda} \frac{\langle \overline{K^0} | H_W | \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda | H_W | K^0 \rangle}{m_K - E_\lambda}$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \langle 0|T \left\{ K^{0}(t_{f}) \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{A}}^{t_{B}} dt_{2} \int_{t_{A}}^{t_{B}} dt_{1} H_{W}(t_{2}) H_{W}(t_{1}) K^{0^{\dagger}}(t_{i}) \right\} |0\rangle$$

Integrate operators (here H_W) over time interval where initial and final kaon dominate



long distance effects – ΔM_K

N. Christ et al. PRD 88 (2013) 014508 <u>arXiv:1212.5931</u> Bai et al. PRL 113 (2014) 112003 <u>arXiv:1406.0916</u>

 \bar{K}^0

 K^0

$$\mathcal{A} = N_{K}^{2} e^{-M_{K}(t_{f}-t_{i})} \sum_{n} \frac{\langle \bar{K}^{0} | H_{W} | n \rangle \langle n | H_{W} | K^{0} \rangle}{M_{K} - E_{n}} \begin{pmatrix} -T - \frac{1}{M_{K} - E_{n}} + \frac{e^{(M_{K} - E_{n})T}}{M_{K} - E_{n}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$amplitude \quad irrelevant \quad exponential term \\ \Delta m_{K}^{\mathrm{FV}} \quad \text{constant} \quad needs \text{ to be subtracted} \\ needs \text{ to be subtracted} \quad \bar{K}^{0} \underbrace{-\pi^{0}, \eta, \eta'}_{K} K^{0} \underbrace{-\pi^{0}, \eta, \eta'}_{K} K^{0}$$

- multiple hadrons in intermediate states causing difficulties and need to be subtracted
- finite volume corrections from two-particle intermediate state can be sizeable N. Christ et al. PRD91 (2015) 114510 arXiv:1504.01170 also: Briceno, Hansen arXiv:1502.04314 extension of Lellouch-Lüscher correction to 2nd order weak MEs $\Delta^{\text{FV}} (\Delta M_K) = -\cot \left(\phi(M_K) + \delta_0(M_K)\right) \frac{d(\phi(E) + \delta_0(E))}{dE}|_{E=M_K} |\langle \bar{K}^0 | H_W | \pi \pi, M_K \rangle^{\text{V}'}|^2$
- what happens when the two *H*_W approach each other (GIM in action)?
- RBC/UKQCD is working very hard on this (and ϵ_K) and there are first promising (but exploratory) results

long distance effects: Rare kaon decays ^{K+}



Two new experiments dedicated to rare kaon decays NA62 (CERN) and KOTO (J-PARC) are running

- FCNC (W-W or γ /Z-exchange diagrams)
- deep probe into flavour mixing and SM/BSM due to suppression in the SM
- can determine V_{td} , V_{ts} and test SM

$$K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$$

- KOTO (J-PARC)
- direct CP violation
- exp. BR $\leq 2.6 \times 10^{-8}$
 - theory BR $3.0(3) \times 10^{-11}$
- GIM → top dominated and charm suppressed, purely SD

U

 $K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$

- NA62 (CERN)
- CP conserving
- exp. BR $1.73(^{+1.15}_{-1.05}) \times 10^{-10}$ theory BR $0.911(72) \times 10^{-10}$

compute in lattice Q

• small LD contribution, candidate for lattice

 $K^+ \to \pi^+ l^+ l^- \qquad K_S \to \pi^0 l^+ l^-$

- 1-photon exchange LD dominated
 indirect contribution to CP-violating rare *K_L* decay
- SM prediction mainly ChPT
- lattice can predict ME and LECs
- experimenters will be able to look at these channels as well

Rare kaon decays $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ l^+ l^-$

N. Christ et al. <u>arXiv:1507.03094</u> <u>arXiv:1602.01374</u>



LD contribution given through $K \rightarrow \gamma^*$ contribution which is computed as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu} = (q^2) \int d^4x \langle \pi(p) | T \left[J_{\mu}(0) H_W(x) \right] | K(k) \rangle$$

dominant operators: $Q_1^q = (\bar{s}_i \gamma_\mu^L d_i) (\bar{q}_j \gamma_\mu^L q_j), \qquad Q_2^q = (\bar{s}_i \gamma_\mu^L q_i) (\bar{q}_j \gamma_\mu^L d_j)$

Decay amplitude in terms of elm. transition form factor

$$A_{i} = -\frac{G_{F}\alpha}{4\pi} V_{i}(z)(k+p)^{\mu} \bar{u}_{l}(p_{-})\gamma_{\mu}\nu_{l}(p_{+}) \qquad (i=+,S)$$
$$V_{i}(z) = a_{i} + b_{i}z + V_{i}^{\pi\pi}(z)$$

- the *a*^S and *a*⁺ can be extracted from experiment or lattice
- *a_s* parameterises also the CP-violating contribution to the *K_L* decay



Summary/Conclusions

- considerable set of SM parameters, spectra and matrix elements now reliably and precisely predicted in full lattice QCD
- Flavour Lattice Averaging Group (FLAG)
- precision such that isospin breaking effects in matrix elements and spectra needs to be taken into account
- long distance effects:
 - neutral kaon system
 - rare kaon decays (new experimental facilities!!!)

with loads of new questions and theoretical problems and potential impact on SM and BSM phenomenology

Summary/Conclusions

- this talk is by far not inclusive:
 - *K*→ππ, *g*-2, ...
 - finite-*T*,μ
 - BSM
 - ...

34th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory

University of Southampton 24–30 July 2016

registration and abstract submission open!

Looking forward to see you in Southampton!

http://www.southampton.ac.uk/lattice2016/













LATTICE