

Studies of cosmic antiparticles with PAMELA

Mark Pearce

KTH, Department of Physics



The University of Birmingham - 4th February 2009

Overview



- (Very) brief introduction to cosmic rays
- The PAMELA experiment
- In-orbit status
- Searching for dark matter
- First results:
 - Antiprotons
 - Positrons




The discovery of cosmic rays



- Victor Hess ascended to 5000 m in a balloon in 1912
- ... and noticed that his electroscope discharged more rapidly as altitude increased
- Not expected, as background radiation was thought to be terrestrial
- Nobel Prize in Physics 1936 (with Carl 'e⁺' Anderson)




Cosmic ray energy spectrum



~500 km - - -

Smaller detectors
but long duration.

PAMELA!



Top of atmosphere - - -

Primary cosmic ray



~40 km

Large detectors but short duration. Atmospheric overburden $\sim 5 \text{ g/cm}^2$.
All previous data on cosmic antiparticles from here.


~5 km - - -



Hess, 1912

Ground - - -

0 m



PAMELA Collaboration

Italy



Bari



Florence



Frascati



Naples



Rome



Trieste



CNR, Florence



Russia



Moscow
St. Petersburg

Germany




Siegen

Sweden



KTH, Stockholm



Trigger, ToF, dE/dx

- S1, S2, S3; double layers, x-y
- plastic scintillator (8 mm) + PMT
- ToF resolution ~ 300 ps (S1-3 ToF > 3 ns)
- lepton-hadron separation < 1 GeV/c
- S1.S2.S3 (low rate) / S2.S3 (high rate)

Sign of charge, rigidity, dE/dx


- Permanent magnet, 0.43 T
- 21.5 cm²sr
- 6 planes double-sided silicon strip detectors (300 μ m)
- 3 μ m resolution in bending view \Rightarrow MDR ~ 1000 GV (6 plane) ~ 600 GV (5 plane)

Electron energy, dE/dx, lepton-hadron separation

- 44 'Si-x / W / Si-y' planes (380 μ m)
- $16.3 X_0 / 0.6 \lambda_L$
- $dE/E \sim 5.5\%$ (10 - 300 GeV)
- Self trigger > 300 GeV / 600 cm²sr

- 36 ^3He counters
- $^3\text{He}(n,p)\text{T}$; $E_p = 780$ keV
- 1 cm thick poly + Cd moderator
- 200 μ s collection time

Lepton-hadron separation



Design goals

	Energy range	Particles/3 years
Antiproton flux	80 MeV - 190 GeV	$O(10^4)$
Positron flux Electron/positron flux	50 MeV – 270 GeV up to 2 TeV (from calo)	$O(10^5)$
Electron flux	up to 400 GeV	$O(10^6)$
Proton flux	up to 700 GeV	$O(10^8)$
Light nuclei (up to Z=6)	up to 200 GeV/n	He/Be/C: $O(10^{7/4/5})$
Antinuclei search	Sensitivity of $O(10^{-8})$ in He-bar/He	

- **Unprecedented statistics and new energy range for cosmic ray physics**


- e.g. contemporary antiproton & positron energy, $E_{\max} \approx 50$ GeV


- Simultaneous measurements of many species


- constrain secondary production models

I **HEAT-PBAR flight** ~ 25 days PAMELA data
I **CAPRICE98 flight** ~ 5 days PAMELA data









**18 GeV/c
non-interacting antiproton**

PALETTE

TOF, TRK, CALO, S4 [MIP]:

0	0 - 2	2 - 10	10 - 100	100 - 500	> 500
---	-------	--------	----------	-----------	-------

ND [neutrons]:

0	1	2	3 - 6	7 - 14	> 14
---	---	---	-------	--------	------


AC:


NOT HIT	HIT trigger	HIT background
---------	-------------	----------------


ND

SATELLITE (CPU) SIDE

ND







13 GV Interacting helium nucleus

ND: Trig: 2 - Bckgr: upper = 4 lower = 2


PALETTE					
TOF, TRK, CALO, S4 [MIP]:					
0	0 - 2	2 - 10	10 - 100	100 - 500	> 500
ND [neutrons]:					
0	1	2	3 - 6	7 - 14	> 14
AC:					
NOT HIT	HIT trigger	HIT background			

SATELLITE (CPU) SIDE


Launch: 15th June 2006, 0800 UTC



Resurs-DK1 satellite + orbit



Resurs-DK1
Mass: 6.7 tonnes
Height: 7.4 m
Solar array area: 36 m²




~90 mins




- **Resurs-DK1**: multi-spectral imaging of earth's surface
- **PAMELA mounted inside a pressurized container**
- **Lifetime >3 years (assisted)**
- Data transmitted to NTsOMZ, Moscow via high-speed radio downlink. ~15 GB per day
- Quasi-polar and elliptical orbit (70.0°, 350 km - 600 km)
- Traverses the South Atlantic Anomaly
- Crosses the outer (electron) Van Allen belt at south pole

Trigger rate







PAMELA milestones

- **Launch from Baikonur:** June 15th 2006, 0800 UTC.
- **‘First light’:** June 21st 2006, 0300 UTC.
- **PAMELA in continuous data-taking mode since commissioning phase ended on July 11th 2006**
- **As of ~now:**
 - ~700 days of data taking (~73% live-time)
 - ~12 TByte of raw data downlinked
 - $>10^9$ triggers recorded and under analysis




Scientific goals

- **Search for dark matter annihilation**
- Search for antihelium (primordial antimatter)
- Study of cosmic-ray propagation (light nuclei and isotopes)
- Study of electron spectrum (local sources?)
- Study solar physics and solar modulation
- Study terrestrial magnetosphere



Dark matter beginnings...



Fritz Zwicky, 1933

"If this overdensity is confirmed we would arrive at the astonishing conclusion that dark matter is present [in Coma] with a much greater density than luminous matter."




The Coma cluster



The velocity dispersion of galaxies in the Coma cluster indicates presence of Dark Matter, $\sigma \sim 1000 \text{ km/s} \Rightarrow$

$$\mathbf{M / L \sim 50}$$


There's evidence for dark matter on many scales...




Searches for WIMP Dark Matter




The current content of the Universe




Background



PAMELA




You are here




Signal

WIMP annihilation



Majorana


e.g. supersymmetric neutralino, $\tilde{\chi}$




Dirac

e.g. Kaluza Klein particle from
Universal Extra Dimension models


Present status



Antiprotons




Positrons




CR + ISM \rightarrow **p-bar** + ...
 kinematic threshold:
 5.6 GeV for the reaction
 $pp \rightarrow \bar{p}ppp$

CR + ISM $\rightarrow \pi^\pm + x \rightarrow \mu^\pm + x \rightarrow e^\pm + x$
 CR + ISM $\rightarrow \pi^0 + x \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^\pm$



Antiproton / positron identification




Time-of-flight:
trigger, albedo
rejection,
mass
determination
(up to 1 GeV)

**Bending in
spectrometer:**
sign of charge

**Ionisation energy
loss (dE/dx):**
magnitude of
charge

**Interaction
pattern in
calorimeter:**
electron-like or
proton-like,
electron energy

Antiproton
(NB: $e^-/\bar{p} \sim 10^2$)




Positron
(NB: $p/e^+ \sim 10^{3-4}$)

Analysis 'recipe'


- Select downward-going particles with ToF ($\Delta t \sim 0.3$ ns)
- Select MIPs with dE/dx (ToF + tracker)
- Multiplicity cuts on S1/S2, AC to reject interactions
- Quality cuts on tracker fit, derive rigidity
- Check rigidity is compatible with geomagnetic location
- Use shower topology to reject electrons
- Use ToF β for particle ID, < 1 GeV/c

Antiparticle selection




Proton 'spillover' background

- **Spectrometer tracking information is crucial for high-energy antiproton selection**
- **Finite spectrometer resolution - high rigidity protons may be assigned wrong sign-of-charge**
 - Also background from scattered protons
- **Eliminate 'spillover' using strict track cuts** (χ^2 , lever arm, no δ -rays, etc)
 - $\text{MDR} > 10 \times$ reconstructed rigidity
- **Spillover limit for antiprotons expected to be ~ 200 GeV.**



Pre-PAMELA antiproton-to-proton flux ratio



$\sim 5 \text{ g/cm}^2$


$\sim 120 \text{ m}$



Antiproton-to-proton flux ratio


Adriani et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 051101 (2009)
arXiv 0810.4994 (28th Oct. 2008)

2nd Feb. 2009!




Secondary production models


Adriani et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 051101 (2009)
arXiv 0810.4994 (28th Oct. 2008)



Pre-PAMELA positron fraction



Proton / positron discrimination




Proton

Time-of-flight:
trigger, albedo
rejection, mass
determination
(up to 1 GeV)

**Bending in
spectrometer:**
sign of charge

**Ionisation energy
loss (dE/dx):**
magnitude of
charge





**Interaction
pattern in
calorimeter:**
electron-like or
proton-like,
electron energy



Positron


$(\sim R_M)$

Selections on total detected energy, starting point of shower



Positron selection with calorimeter

Rigidity: 20-30 GV




Fraction of charge released along the
calorimeter track (left, hit, right)

($\sim R_m$)

Positron selection with calorimeter



Rigidity: 20-30 GV



Fraction of charge released along the calorimeter track (left, hit, right)


+

Energy-momentum match



Positron selection with calorimeter

Rigidity: 20-30 GV




Fraction of charge released along the calorimeter track (left, hit, right)

+


- Energy-momentum match
- Starting point of shower
- Longitudinal profile

Positron selection with calorimeter

Flight data: rigidity: 20-30 GV



Test beam data: momentum: 50 GeV/c





- Energy-momentum match
- Starting point of shower



Neutron yield

Rigidity: 42-65 GV

Fraction of charge released along the calorimeter track (left, hit, right)



Neutrons detected by ND




•Energy-momentum match

•Starting point of shower

Positron selection with dE/dX

Energy loss in silicon tracker detectors: $-\frac{dE}{dx} = K z^2 \frac{Z}{A} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2 T_{\max}}{I^2} - \beta^2 \frac{\delta(\beta\gamma)}{2} \right]$

TOP: positive (mostly p) and negative events (mostly e⁻)







BOTTOM: positive events identified as p and e⁺ by transverse profile method

Rigidity: 10-15 GV

Rigidity: 15-20 GV

Background estimation from data





Rigidity: 20-28 GV



- + • Energy-momentum match
- + • Starting point of shower

Background estimation from data


Rigidity: 28-42 GV







- + • Energy-momentum match
- + • Starting point of shower

Low energy positron fraction


Nature, in press (arXiv 0810.4995)



Solar modulation




Pre-PAMELA positron fraction



PAMELA positron fraction

Nature, in press (arXiv 0810.4995)




To end 2007:

~10 000 $e^+ > 1.5$ GeV

~2000 > 5 GeV



Secondary production expectation

Nature, in press (arXiv 0810.4995)



Theoretical uncertainties

Delahaye et al.
arXiv:0809.5268v3



Electron spectral index poorly defined above ~ 10 GeV..

...until now.

Nuclei identification



- Important input to secondary production + propagation models

- Secondary to primary ratios:

- B / C
- Be / C
- Li / C

- Helium and hydrogen isotopes:


- $^3\text{He} / ^4\text{He}$
- d / He




Truncated mean of multiple dE/dx measurements in different silicon planes

During first week after PAMELA results posted on arXiv

Adriani et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 051101
arXiv 0810.4994




Nature, in press
arXiv 0810.4995




- 0808.3725 DM
- 0808.3867 DM
- 0809.2409 DM
- 0810.2784 Pulsar
- 0810.4846 DM / pulsar
- 0810.5292 DM
- 0810.5344 DM
- 0810.5167 DM
- 0810.5304 DM
- 0810.5397 DM
- 0810.5557 DM
- 0810.4147 DM
- 0811.0250 DM
- 0811.0477 DM

DM constraints from \bar{p}/p

Adriani et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 051101
arXiv 0810.4994




Results place strict limits on Dark Matter models where quark jets are a dominant final state...



e.g. Donato et al., arXiv:0810.5292v1

Example: Dark Matter (I)




Example: Dark Matter (2)


Hooper and Zurek
arXiv:0902:0593v1

arXiv:0808.3725

Bergström, Bringmann & Edsjö (2008)



Majorana DM with **new** internal bremsstrahlung correction. NB: requires annihilation cross-section to be 'boosted' by > 1000 .




Conventional Dark Matter scenarios require 'Boost Factors' of 100 - 10000

Boost factor:


$$B_{\Delta V} = B_n^{\Delta V} \times B_{\sigma V} = \frac{\langle n^2(\vec{r}) \rangle_{\Delta V}}{\langle n_{NFW}^2(\vec{r}) \rangle_{\Delta V}} \times \frac{\langle \sigma V \rangle_{V/c \approx 10^{-3}}}{\langle \sigma V \rangle_{V/c \approx 0.3}}$$

Cosmology Particle physics



Positrons

Large boost possible in presence of a nearby dark matter 'clump'



Antiprotons

Acceptable boost factors not possible in reasonable halo models.


$z=0.0$

Dark matter density. **Via Lactea II simulation** (Diemand et al., 2008)

80 kpc



Background




PAMELA



You are here

Signal?





Pulsar

$e^+?$




The Fermi-GST gamma-ray sky



Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope (formerly GLAST) launched 11th June 2008





Example: pulsars



Yüksak et al.
arXiv:0810.2784v2

Geminga

assuming different distance, age and energy spectrum of pulsar emission



Hooper, Blasi, and Serpico
arXiv:0810.1527

NEWS & VIEWS

ASTROPHYSICS

A message from the dark side

Yousaf M. Butt

Both astrophysicists and particle physicists are in on the hunt for the elusive dark matter that is thought to pervade the Universe. A high-altitude balloon-borne experiment offers the latest hints as to what it could be.

Humiliating as it may sound, you, me and everything we see — the Earth, Moon, Sun and stars — may be little more than cosmic contamination. Most of the 'stuff' in the Universe is thought to be in the form of invisible and elusive particles of dark matter. To date, the existence of this cosmic exotica has been inferred through its gravitational effects. But on page 362 of this issue, Chang and collaborators report¹ on a surprising bump detected in the spectrum of celestial electrons that could be a more direct signal of this mysterious substance — or there may be other intriguing explanations.

For 75 years, astronomers have collected data that point to the existence of a type of non-luminous matter that outweighs normal ('baryonic') matter by a factor of about six. Several independent lines of evidence seem to make its reality compelling. For one, the meas-



The ATIC balloon-borne electron detector ready for launch on the Ross Ice Shelf of Antarctica.

T. G. GIZIK




Figure 4 | Assuming an annihilation signature of Kaluza–Klein dark matter, all the data can be reproduced. The GALPROP general electron spectrum resulting from sources across the galaxy is shown as the dashed line. The dotted curve represents the propagated electrons from the annihilation of a Kaluza–Klein particle. The dotted curve assumes an isothermal dark matter halo of 4-kpc scale height, a local dark matter density of 0.43 GeV cm^{-3} , a Kaluza–Klein mass of 620 GeV, and an annihilation cross section rate of $1 \times 10^{-23} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, which implies a boost factor of ~ 200 . The sum of these signals is the solid curve. Here the spectrum is multiplied by $E_e^{3.0}$ for clarity. The solid curve provides a good fit to both the magnetic spectrometer data^{30,31} and calorimeter data^{16,32} and reproduces all of the measurements from 20 GeV to 2 TeV, including the cut-off in the observed excess. All error bars are one standard deviation.






Figure 3 | ATIC results showing agreement with previous data at lower energy and with the imaging calorimeter PPB-BETS at higher energy. The electron differential energy spectrum measured by ATIC (scaled by E_e^3) at the top of the atmosphere (red filled circles) is compared with previous observations from the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer AMS (green stars)³¹, HEAT (open black triangles)³⁰, BETS (open blue circles)³², PPB-BETS (blue crosses)¹⁶ and emulsion chambers (black open diamonds)^{4,8,9}, with uncertainties of one standard deviation. The GALPROP code calculates a power-law spectral index of -3.2 in the low-energy region (solid curve)¹⁴. (The dashed curve is the solar modulated electron spectrum and shows that modulation is unimportant above ~ 20 GeV.) From several hundred to ~ 800 GeV, ATIC observes an 'enhancement' in the electron intensity over the GALPROP curve. Above 800 GeV, the ATIC data returns to the solid line. The PPB-BETS data also seem to indicate an enhancement and, as discussed in Supplementary Information section 3, within the uncertainties the emulsion chamber results are not in conflict with the ATIC data.

Chang et al.

Nature 456, 362-365 (2008)

Future observations of electrons



HESS Collaboration
arXiv:0811.3894



Summary

- **PAMELA** has been in orbit and studying cosmic rays for ~30 months. $>10^9$ triggers registered, and >10 TB of data has been down-linked.
- **Antiproton-to-proton flux ratio** (~ 100 MeV - ~ 100 GeV) shows no significant deviations from secondary production expectations. Additional high energy data in preparation (up to ~ 150 GeV).
- **Low energy positron fraction** (~ 1.5 - ~ 5 GeV) shows solar modulation effects. Excellent statistics!
- **High energy positron fraction** (> 10 GeV) increases significantly (and unexpectedly!) with energy. **Primary source?**
- Data at higher energies will help to resolve origin of rise (spillover limit ~ 300 GeV).

