

Rare event searches with gaseous detectors

Tom Neep, on behalf of the Birmingham Gaseous Detectors Laboratory (and many others) Birmingham April 27, 2020

The Standard Model (& beyond)

- The Standard Model of particle physics is "complete"
- It does an annoyingly good job of describing the data from the LHC (with a few notable exceptions)
- Lots of observations suggest that dark matter exists
 - Galactic rotation curves
 - Cosmic microwave background
 - Small scale structure
 - 'Bullet' cluster





Standard Model of Elementary Particles



Dark matter

• What do we know about dark matter? Not much!



Dark Sector Candidates, Anomalies, and Search Techniques

Dark matter

X X SM SM

- Direct dark matter searches have made great strides in excluding WIMP-like dark matter
- Increasing interest in pushing towards lower masses, O(100 MeV)



The Migdal effect

- Direct dark matter experiments search for dark matter scattering off a nucleus
- The nucleus ionises the detector medium
- However, when the nucleus recoils it can "leave behind" the electron cloud
- This can lead to the emission of an electron (we'll call this the Migdal electron)
- Thresholds for detecting electrons are lower we would see these events
- The Migdal effect first predicted in 1939, recent renaissance due to applicability to DM



To explore dark matter masses of $\mathcal{O}(100~{
m MeV})$ we need detectors with a lower threshold

Option A: Exploit the Migdal effect

- No need to build a new detector?
- Can reinterpret existing results?
- Problem: The Migdal effect has not yet been observed in nuclear scattering!
- Solution: Build a detector to observe the Migdal effect in nuclear scattering

Option B: Build detectors with light targets

- If DM is light then a light target is a better match
- Need a low background detector low material budget
- Need low electronic noise aim for single electron threshold
- Solution: Build a detector which can be filled with a light target

- 1. Particle enters the detector and scatters off a nucleus
- 2. Nucleus ionizes the gas, creating electron–ion pairs
- 3. In the presence of an electric field, electrons drift towards the anode
- Electrons avalanche in a region with high E-field magnitude. Electrons given enough energy to ionize more electrons-ion pairs, which in turn can ionize more and so on...
- 5. Electrons (or ions) induce current on electrodes (Shockley-Ramo)



- Can build large detectors at a reasonable cost
- The gas and pressure can be changed to suit the requirements of the experiment

To explore dark matter masses of $\mathcal{O}(100~{
m MeV})$ we need detectors with a lower threshold

Option A: Exploit the Migdal effect

- No need to build a new detector?
- Can reinterpret existing results?
- Problem: The Migdal effect has not yet been observed in nuclear scattering!
- Solution: Build a detector to observe the Migdal effect in nuclear scattering

Option B: Build detectors with light targets

- If DM is light then a light target is a better match
- Need a low background detector low material budget
- Need low electronic noise aim for single electron threshold
- Solution: Build a detector which can be filled with a light target

The MIGDAL Experiment

- The Migdal In Galactic Dark MAtter ExpLoration experiment aims to make an unambiguous observation of the Migdal effect in nuclear scattering using an optical time projection chamber
- Similar concept to the diagram on the previous slide



MIGDAL: Avalanche region – Gas Electron Multipliers



- Electron avalanche performed using two GEMs
- GEMs are micropattern gas detectors, in the same family of gaseous detectors as Micromegas
- Very small holes in a dielectric sheet
- Electrons are directed through the holes and avalanche inside of them
- GEM parameters: 170 μ m diameter holes, 280 μ m pitch

- The experiment is equipped with multiple readouts
- A PMT is used to collect light produced in both the initial ionization and in the avalanche. This gives us information about the absolute z-position of the initial interaction
- An Indium Tin Oxide (ITO) strip anode is used to readout the charge produced. This gives us information about the tracks produce in the *x* and *z* (time) directions
- A CMOS camera records the light leaving the GEMS, giving us a picture of the tracks in the x-y plane
- We are involved in simulating all of this, I am the simulation coordinator for the experiment

Example simulated Migdal-like event: CMOS image

250 -200 -- 150 -Bixels 100 -50 -0 -50 100 200 250 50 100 200 250 Ó 150 Ó 150 Pixels Pixels

Event 162: 250keV_F_1.124cm_922_gem_out

The NILE facility at ISIS, RAL



- Experiment will be based at RAL
- We will first use a 2.45 MeV DD neutron source and later a 14.1 MeV DT neutron source
- Expect to start data taking very soon. Stay tuned!

To explore dark matter masses of $\mathcal{O}(100~{
m MeV})$ we need detectors with a lower threshold

Option A: Exploit the Migdal effect

- No need to build a new detector?
- Can reinterpret existing results?
- Problem: The Migdal effect has not yet been observed in nuclear scattering!
- Solution: Build a detector to observe the Migdal effect in nuclear scattering

Option B: Build detectors with light targets

- If DM is light then a light target is a better match
- Need a low background detector low material budget
- Need low electronic noise aim for single electron threshold
- Solution: Build a detector which can be filled with a light target

The NEWS-G Collaboration



Spherical Proportional Counters (SPCs)

Overview

- SPCs consist of a grounded metalic shell, which acts as a cathode, a gas volume and a central anode sensor
- The anode is kept at a high voltage and supported by a grounded metallic rod

Advantages

- Low capacitance, independent of cathode radius low noise, single electron threshold
- High-pressure operation can reach large target masses
- Optimal volume-to-surface ratio low background
- Single readout in its simplest form
- Easy to switch target gas











- The signal of an "event" is a voltage pulse, which can be deconvolved to get a current pulse.
- Each pulse contains information that can be used to distinguish different features of observed events, potentially allowing signal/background discrimination





- The first NEWS-G detector was called SEDINE and operated at LSM for 43 days in Spring 2015
- 60 cm diameter copper SPC filled with Ne+CH4 (0.7%) at 3.1 bar [9.6 kg · days]
- Set world leading limits on "WIMP-like" dark matter with $m_{\chi^0} <$ 650 MeV
- Limits have since been surpassed
- Main background from decays in the copper sphere

How to improve?

- Larger target mass (bigger detector)
- Lower backgrounds
- Better signal/background discrimination





Larger target mass - bigger detector?

- To increase the target mass we ideally want a larger detector
- Not as simple as it might sound

$$E(r) = \frac{V_o}{r^2} \frac{r_a r_c}{r_c - r_a} \approx \frac{V_o}{r^2} r_a \qquad \qquad E(r_a) \approx \frac{V_o}{r_a}$$

- Electric field drops with r^2
- To collect the charge at the edge of the detector efficiently we need a large drift field
- Can increase the drift field by increasing the anode radius
- But increasing the anode radius reduces the electric field in the avalanche region (lower gain)
- So need to increase the voltage, but this can lead to instabilities
- Ideally we need a way to decouple the fields in the avalanche and drift regions...

- The solution is to use a multiple anode sensor, known as ACHINOS sensors ACHINOS
- The drift field and avalanche fields can be decoupled



Solution: ACHINOS

- An additional advantage is that we can perform multi-channel readout, allowing the position of the primary interaction to be determined and help particle identification (distinguish signal from certain backgrounds)
- Plot shows the amplitude asymmetry formed from the rod-side and far-side anodes from simulation





Electroplating

- The largest background in the previous iteration of the analysis was from ²¹⁰Pb decays in the copper sphere
- In addition to using 99.99% pure copper, the inner surface of the sphere has been **electroplated**
- A 500 $\mu{\rm m}$ layer of pure copper has been plated on the inner surface of SNOGLOBE
- Rate of copper pprox 36 μ m per day
- Expect to reduce background rate by more than a factor of 2 in the ROI





- The current NEWS-G SPC is called SNOGLOBE. This will operate at SNOLAB in Canada having previously operated at LSM.
- Several improvements over SEDINE
- + 140 cm diameter \rightarrow Possible thanks to the ACHINOS
- 4N Aurubius Copper (99.99% pure) with 500 μ m electroplated copper inner surface
- Two readouts (possible fiducialisation)





SNOGLOBE

- Expect to improve sensitivity by several orders of magnitude and set limits down to 100 MeV
- The detector is now in position at SNOLAB
- Commissioning is underway and data taking to start this year (delayed due to COVID)



ECUME

- Despite the electroplating, we still expect the largest background with SNOGLOBE to come from decays in the copper sphere
- The ECUME project aims to build a fully electroformed detector underground



ECUME

- Despite the electroplating, we still expect the largest background with SNOGLOBE to come from decays in the copper sphere
- The ECUME project aims to build a fully electroformed detector underground

DarkSPHERE

- Proposal to build a 3m diameter fully-electroformed detector
- Will operate with He and isobutane
- We hope to build and operate this detector at Boulby Underground Lab.
- An opportunity for world leading dark-matter experiment in the UK!!



DarkSPHERE

- Simulations of a 60 anode (!) ACHINOS for DarkSPHERE
- Will potentially allow some level of tracking
- DarkSPHERE will set world leading spin-dependent dark matter limits
- Interest from UK theory community: arXiv:2110.02985



10 σ_{SD} [cm²] 10-32

10-34

-proton 10-34

90% CL Upper Limit He: C4H10 (90%:10%)

Sensitivity from H

@3m.5bar.300 days E(0.014.1)keV

COMSU

Backgrounds from neutrons in the cavern may become problematic. Can we measure these in-situ?

- Detecting neutrons is difficult
- Current neutron detectors have several disadvantages
- Helium-3 based proportional counters are efficient for thermal and fast neutrons, but need to be operated at high pressure.
- Helium-3 is extremely expensive

- **Proposal:** use an SPC filled with N₂ to detect neutrons
- Nitrogen is non-toxic, non-flammable and cheap

 ${}^{14}\mathrm{N} + n \rightarrow {}^{14}\mathrm{C} + \mathrm{p} + 625 \,\mathrm{keV}$ ${}^{14}\mathrm{N} + n \rightarrow {}^{11}\mathrm{B} + \alpha - 159 \,\mathrm{keV}$



We have been measuring neutrons with a nitrogen–filled SPC in Birmingham!

- To test the detection of neutrons we use an ²⁴¹Am⁹Be source
- Use the 30 cm diameter SPC, filled with N_2 and instrumented with a two-channel achinos
- A graphite stack is used to thermalise neutrons. We can move the source in/out of the stack to get thermal/fast neutrons.



Graphite stack - 1.5 bar, 4500V



- Impurities in the gas emitted by filter (Radon) actually quite useful to calibrate the detector!
- Paper very soon!

MC40 Cyclotron

- We can also produce neutrons at the MC40 cyclotron
- Deuteron beam on a Beryllium target to produce fast neutrons with energies up to 10 MeV
- Can place various moderators in the beam (paraffin, boron doped polyethylene, lead)
- Make comparisons with our simulation framework (preliminary results)!





MC40 Cyclotron

- We can also produce neutrons at the MC40 cyclotron
- Deuteron beam on a Beryllium target to produce fast neutrons with energies up to 10 MeV
- Can place various moderators in the beam (**paraffin**, boron doped polyethylene, lead)
- Make comparisons with our simulation framework (preliminary results)!





34

What else?

• Many experiments are searching for $o\nu\beta\beta$ decay

Requirements for a $0\nu\beta\beta$ experiment

- 1. **Low background** Low rate of signal events requires as small a background as possible
- 2. Large isotope mass Limits on $0\nu\beta\beta$ half-life require large isotope masses
- 3. Good energy resolution Essential to discriminate the $0\nu\beta\beta$ signal from the $2\nu\beta\beta$ background

Properties of Spherical Proportional Counters

- Low background a) Spherical shape has the optimal surface-to-volume ratio, b) Very low material budget c) Radial discrimination through pulse analysis
- Large isotope mass Large masses of extremely pure gaseous isotopes can be achieved through high pressure operation
- 3. Good energy resolution ???

• SPCs good $0\nu\beta\beta$ detectors? Conceptual design investigated in detail in \bigcirc JINST 13 (2018) 01, P01009

- Neutrinos have mass and oscillate between flavours! Right-handed neutrinos?
- Majorana proposed that neutral particles can be their own anti-particles
- If this is the case then we can introduce neutrinoless double-beta decay
- Such a process would violate lepton number and may help to shed light on the matter-anti-matter asymmetry of the universe



Analysis strategy

- Measure the energy of two electrons
- If there is $o\nu\beta\beta$ then we expect a peak at the *Q*-value of the process, compared with a continuous spectrum from $2\nu\beta\beta$
- Example below from the GERDA experiment





• R2D2 (Rare decays with a radial detector) is an R&D project to investigate using a Xenon filled SPC to search for $o\nu\beta\beta$



The initial goal of the project is to demonstrate the required energy resolution to search for $0\nu\beta\beta$ can be achieved (1% FWHW at $Q_{\beta\beta}$ of 2.458 MeV)



R2D2 spherical TPC: first energy resolution results

R. Bouet^a J. Busto^b V. Cecchini^{a, f} C. Cerna^a A. Dastgheibi-Fard^c F. Druillole^a C. Jollet^a P. Hellmuth^a I. Katsioulas^d P. Knights^{d, e} I. Giomataris^e M. Gros^e P. Lautridou^f A. Meregaglia^{a,1} X. F. Navick^e T. Neep^d K. Nikolopoulos^d F. Perrot^a F. Piquemal^a M. Roche^a B. Thomas^a R. Ward^d M. Zampaolo^c

^a CENBG, Université de Bordeaux, CNRS/IN2P3, F-33175 Gradignan, France ^bCPPM, Université d'Aix-Marseille, CNRS/IN2P3, F-13288 Marseille, France ^cLSM, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Grenoble-Alpes, Modane, France ^d School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom ^eIRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France ^f SUBATECH, IMT-Atlantique, Université de Nantes, CNRS-IN2P3, France

- To investigate whether the desired energy resolution can be achieved a 20 cm radius aluminium SPC has been produced and operated at CENBG in Bordeaux
- The detector was filled with a mix of Argon/CH4 (98/2%)
- An α particle source (²¹⁰Po) was used, producing α particles with E = 5.3 MeV



Results (i)

- Measured data are compared with simulation results using > JINST 15 (2020) 06, C06013
- Good agreement
- Pulse properties can be used to select specific events





Resolution measurement

- The energy resolution is measured to be \approx 1.1% FWHM at 5.3 MeV
- Scaling to the $Q_{\beta\beta}$ of ¹³⁶Xe gives a resolution of 1.6%
- *W*-value and Fano factor of Xenon more favourable than Argon
- Tested at two different pressures (track lengths varying from a few to 20 cm). Results independent of track length.
- Promising first results!



- The Birmingham gas lab is involved in a wide range of activities. Not just Dark Matter!
- MIGDAL experiment will start taking data very soon!
- NEWS-G experiment in place in SNOLAB, calibration underway and physics runs expected shortly!
- The **ECUME** project will result in a fully electroformed detector
- We hope that **DarkSPHERE** will bring a world-leading dark matter experiment to the UK!
- Neutron measurements have been performed here in Birmingham expect papers on the graphite stack and cyclotron measurements in the coming weeks/months!
- The R2D2 project is continuing to study the suitability of an SPC for oνββ decay searches. Recently
 demonstrated adding light readout to an SPC







- Galactic rotation curves
- Lensing 💽
- Bullet cluster 🕑
- ACDM



2







Current on electrode from Ramo-Shockley theorem

$$\dot{i}_n = -q \frac{\vec{E}_m \cdot \vec{v}}{V_m^n} \tag{1}$$

Direction of \vec{v} is the same as the electric field of the detector. Focus on top anode, \vec{E} and \vec{E}_{w}^{far} are in same direction. \vec{E} and $\vec{E}_{w}^{\text{near}}$ are in opposite directions. \therefore opposite currents



The setup of the detector studied and the most relevant expected backgrounds for one year of data taking

- Interesting lessons learnt during the process of producing the "final" comparison seen on the previous slide
- Diffusion and noise have large impacts on the Dt distribution







7

Labs

▶ J.Phys. G43 (2016) 013001



Monitoring & Calibration

- Detector stability is monitored using a laser system
- Can be used to calibrate the detector
- ³⁷Ar calibrations are performed at the end of runs







DLC ACHINOS



- Studied achinos ϕ dependence for **JINST 15 (2020) 11, P11023**
- 3D printed DLC sensor, 11 1mm diameter anodes in 30cm diameter SPC
- Here an ⁵⁵Fe source has been moved around the detector (at the same latitude)

- Gain changes versus ϕ
- Lines up with which anode the source is closest too
- Gain variation is well reproduced by the simulation!
- We can show with simulation this can be corrected by applying different voltages to each side of the achinos



- Our simulation framework combines
 - • Geanta, for simulating the interactions of particles/radiation with matter
 - Carfield++, for simulating the electron-ion drift and signal calculation (interfaces to Heed, SRIM and Magboltz)
 - • ANSYS, finite-element software, for electric field calculations
- Our framework uses these toolkits, along with custom calculations, to produce a complete simulation





Simulation: Initial particle tracking, ionisation and drift



- We use Geant4 to create and track our initial particles we want to study
- Geant4 tracks these through the detector until it produces electrons with E < 2 keV
- At this point Garfield++ takes over
- δ-electrons are produced (HEED), and then all the electrons are drifted in the detector using ANSYS and Magboltz





- Close to the anode, where the electric field is strongest, the electrons avalanche, producing electron-ion pairs
- Depending on the properties of the detector, this process can produce 10,000s of electrons
- Tracking each one of these becomes extremely computationally expensive
- Instead we parameterise the gain by numerically integrating the townsend coefficient (minus attachment) along the path of each primary electron

$$\overline{G} = \exp\left(\int_{\vec{r}} \alpha(\vec{r}) - \eta(\vec{r}) \mathrm{d}\vec{r}\right)$$

• Electron multiplication then follows a Polya distribution



ACHINOS



- Gain changes versus ϕ
- Lines up with which anode the source is closest too
- Gain variation is well reproduced by the simulation!
- Gain is higher when source inline with rod-side anode

- Studied achinos phi dependence in the context of *arXiv* 2003.01068
- 3D printed DLC sensor, 11 1mm diameter anodes in 30cm diameter SPC
- Here an ⁵⁵Fe source has been moved around the detector (at the same latitude)



ACHINOS

- We investigated what happens when different voltages are applied to either side of the achinos
- Able to flatten out the gain fluctuations to a large extent with a rough tuning
- Can expect a fine-tuning can lead to uniform gain in near and far sides of the detector
- Could potentially even calibrate each anode individually



▶ arXiv 2003.01068