### GRAVITON-PHOTON MIXING AND FUTURE LABORATORY AXION SEARCHES

Aldo Ejlli Particle Physics Seminar University of Birmingham 02/02/2022







GW radiation

# Definition







#### GW UHF: new experimental proposals

- Laser interferometers
- $\circ$   $\,$  Optically levitated sensors
- Polarisation rotation
- Microwave resonant cavities
- GW magnon resonance
- Magnetic conversion: inverse Gertsenshtein effect
- 0 ...

# Outline

Magnetic conversion of GWs in static magnetic field

Axion experiments and magnetic conversion

Experimental UHF GWs upper limits

Prospect of UHF GWs in upgraded axion experiments

HF GWs using interferometry

Conclusions



# MAGNETIC CONVERSION?

# Magnetic conversion: not a new idea

Electromagnetic waves (photons) can transform into gravitational waves (gravitons) in the presence of a constant external magnetic field, Gertsenshtein (1962), Lupanov (1967).

The reverse process  $g \rightarrow \gamma$  was considered by Mitskevich (1969), Boccaletti, De Sabbata, Fortini and Gualdi (1970), Zel'dovich (1973) etc.

For an extended region of a magnetic field in vacuum, there are coherent oscillations of GW in EM and vice versa in complete analogy with neutrino oscillations.





Credit Mike Cruise

# Laboratory magnetic conversion detection

- Examples of an experimental conceptual design Prof. Mike Cruise
- Requirements: single photon detectors, aperture, field strength, cross section and directionality.

Class. Quantum Grav. 29 (2012) 095003 (12pp)

Magnetic conversion (Inverse Gertsenshtein effect)

■ Gravitational-wave propagating in magnetic fields convert into photons. Gertsenshtein, Sov. Phys., JETP 14, 84 (1962), G. A. Lupanov JETP 25, 76 (1967)



# AXION EXPERIMENTS

#### Axion search using laboratory static magnetic fields

- Axions are generated in the magnetic field coupled to two photons.
- Axions, in the second region of the magnetic field, decay into photons.





#### ALPS (Axion-Like Particle Search) DESY Germany

- Magnet provided form HERA particle accelerator working at liquid helium (4 K).
- Magnetic field: B=5 T.
- Length:  $L=2\times4.3$  m.
- Photodetector @  $\lambda = 532$  nm PIXIS CCD.
- Data acquisition 2009-2010.
- Excluded detection @ 95% confidence interval.





#### OSQAR (Optical Search of QED, Axion and photon Regeneration) CERN Switzerland

- Magnets provided from spare LHC particle accelerator working @ superfluid helium (2 K).
- Magnetic field Field: B = 9 T.
- Magnet length: L = 14.3 m.
- Photodetector @  $\lambda = 532$  nm.
- Data acquisition 2014-2015.
- Excluded detection @ 95% confidence interval.







#### CAST (CERN Axion Solar Telescope) CERN Switzerland



- Magnet provided from spare LHC particle accelerator working @ superfluid helium (2 K).
- Magnetic field: B = 9 Tesla.
- Length: L = 9 m.
- X-Ray detector @  $\lambda = 3$  nm.
- Data acquisition 2013-2015.
- Excluded detection @ 95% confidence interval.





# GWs upper limits: ALPS, OSQAR, CAST

#### Detectors

- Cannot point deliberately to the emitting sources, except CAST
- GWs upper limits at Ultra-High-Frequencies (UHF): optical 5×10<sup>14</sup> Hz and X-ray 10<sup>18</sup> Hz

#### Suited sources?

 Requirements: stochastic, isotropic, stationary, and Gaussian gravitational-waves.







# UHF GWS SOURCES

## UHF GW sources: early universe

Primordial BH collisions and evaporations

• Cosmological energy density of the order of  $h_0\Omega_{\rm GW}(f_{\rm peak})pprox 10^{-7}$ 









# UHF GW sources: thermal plasma in the sun



- Gravitational radiation emitted by Coulomb collision in plasma
- Hydrogen plasma in the solar core
- Collision frequency  $10^{15}$  Hz
- Thermal collisions in the solar core produce about 10<sup>8</sup> watts of gravitational radiation



S. Weinberg (ed.) Gravitation and Cosmology. Wiley, New York, p. 266 (1972)

# UHF GW sources: BH-BH collisions in 5D gravity



- Seahra and Clarkson have calculated the GW emission in 5-D gravity when stellar mass black holes fall into a black hole.
- The normal LF radiation from such a system is emitted plus an excitation of the brane separation itself



Chris Clarkson and Sanjeev S Seahra 2007 Class. Quantum Grav. 24 F33



Chris Clarkson and Sanjeev S Seahra 2007 Class. Quantum Grav. 24 F33

# MAGNETIC CONVERSION IN AXION EXPERIMENTS

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm gr} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm em}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm gr} = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} R, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\rm em} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x' A_\mu(x) \Pi^{\mu\nu}(x, x') A_\nu(x')$$

$$\nabla^2 A^0 = 0,$$
  
$$\Box A^i + \left( \int d^4 x' \Pi^{ij}(x, x') A_j(x') \right) + \partial^i \partial_\mu A^\mu = \kappa \partial_\mu [h^{\mu\beta} \bar{F}^i_\beta - h^{i\beta} \bar{F}^\mu_\beta],$$
  
$$\Box h_{ij} = -\kappa \left( B_i \bar{B}_j + \bar{B}_i B_j + \bar{B}_i \bar{B}_j \right),$$



$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm gr} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm em}$$

$$y$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm gr} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm em}$$

$$(\omega + i\partial_z)\Psi(z,\omega,\hat{z})I + M(z,\omega)\Psi(z,\omega,\hat{z}) = 0 \qquad \Psi(z,\omega,\hat{z}) = (h_{\times},h_{+},A_{x},A_{y})^{\mathrm{T}}$$



$$S = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{gr} + \mathcal{L}_{em}$$

$$(\omega + i\partial_{z})\Psi(z, \omega, \hat{z})I + M(z, \omega)\Psi(z, \omega, \hat{z}) = 0 \qquad \Psi(z, \omega, \hat{z}) = (h_{\times}, h_{+}, A_{x}, A_{y})^{T}$$

$$M(z, \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -iM_{g\gamma}^{x} & iM_{g\gamma}^{y} \\ 0 & 0 & iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & iM_{g\gamma}^{y} \\ iM_{g\gamma}^{x} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & M_{x}^{x} & M_{CF}^{c} \\ -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & M_{x}^{x} & M_{CF}^{c} \\ M(z, \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -iM_{g\gamma}^{x} & iM_{g\gamma}^{y} \\ 0 & 0 & iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & iM_{g\gamma}^{y} \\ iM_{g\gamma}^{x} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & M_{x}^{x} & M_{CF}^{c} \\ M_{g\gamma}^{x} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & M_{x}^{x} & M_{CF}^{c} \\ M_{g\gamma}^{x} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & M_{x}^{x} & M_{CF}^{c} \\ M_{g\gamma}^{x} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & M_{x}^{x} & M_{CF}^{c} \\ M_{g\gamma}^{x} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & M_{x}^{y} \\ M_{cF}^{x} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & M_{x}^{y} \\ M_{cF}^{x} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} \\ M_{cF}^{x} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} \\ M_{cF}^{x} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} \\ M_{cF}^{x} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} \\ M_{cF}^{x} & -iM_{g\gamma}^{y} & -iM$$



CARD



$$\Delta_{x,y} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{M_{x,y}^2 + 4(M_{g\gamma}^x)^2}}{2}$$



$$\Delta_{x,y} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{M_{x,y}^2 + 4(M_{g\gamma}^x)^2}}{2}$$

$$S = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{gr} + \mathcal{L}_{em}$$

$$A_{x}(z, \omega, \hat{z}) = -\frac{M_{g\gamma}^{x} \sin(\Delta_{x} z)}{\kappa \Delta_{x}} e^{i(\omega + M_{x}/2)z} \tilde{h}_{\times}(0, \omega, \hat{z})$$

$$A_{y}(z, \omega, \hat{z}) = \frac{M_{g\gamma}^{x} \sin(\Delta_{y} z)}{\kappa \Delta_{y}} e^{i(\omega + M_{y}/2)z} \tilde{h}_{+}(0, \omega, \hat{z}).$$



- EMWs flux:  $\Phi_{\gamma}(z,t)\equiv \langle |E_x(z,t)|^2
  angle + \langle |E_y(z,t)|^2
  angle$
- Stochastic GWs  $\tilde{h}_{ij}(0,t) = \sum_{\lambda=\times,+} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} d^2 \hat{n} \, \tilde{h}_{\lambda}(0,\omega,\hat{n}) e_{ij}^{\lambda}(\hat{n}) \, e^{-i\omega t}$  tensor
- Average value:

$$\langle \tilde{h}_{ij}(0,t)\tilde{h}^{ij}(0,t)\rangle \equiv 2\int_{0}^{+\infty} d(\log\omega)h_{c}^{2}(0,\omega),$$

Converted EMWs stochastic flux

$$\Phi_{\gamma}^{\text{graph}}(z,\omega_f;t) \simeq \int_{\omega_i}^{\omega_f} \frac{B^2 z^2 h_c^2(0,\omega) \omega}{4} d\omega$$

Measured EMWs flux from the CCD  

$$\Phi_{\gamma}^{\text{CCD}}(z,\omega_f;t) = \int_{\omega_i}^{\omega_f} \frac{1}{A(z)} \frac{N(\omega,t)\,\omega}{\epsilon_{\gamma}(\omega)} \,d\omega$$

$$N(\omega,t) = N_{\text{exp}}/\Delta\omega$$

$$h_{c}^{\min}(0,\omega) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{4N_{\exp}}{AB^{2}L^{2}\epsilon_{\gamma}(\omega)\Delta\omega}} \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{-16} \sqrt{\left(\frac{N_{\exp}}{1\text{ Hz}}\right)\left(\frac{1\text{ m}^{2}}{A}\right)\left(\frac{1\text{ T}}{B}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1\text{ m}}{L}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1\text{ Hz}}{\Delta f}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\gamma}(\omega)}\right)}$$
PRIFYSGOL
CAERDYD

#### Parameters necessary to compute the characteristic amplitude

$$h_c^{\min}(0,\omega) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{4\,N_{\exp}}{A\,B^2\,L^2\,\epsilon_{\gamma}(\omega)\,\Delta\omega}} \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{-16} \sqrt{\left(\frac{N_{\exp}}{1\,\,\mathrm{Hz}}\right) \left(\frac{1\,\,\mathrm{m}^2}{A}\right) \left(\frac{1\,\,\mathrm{T}}{B}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1\,\,\mathrm{m}}{L}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1\,\,\mathrm{Hz}}{\Delta f}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\gamma}(\omega)}\right)}$$

- $N_{exp}$  detected number of photons per second,
- A cross-section of the detector,
- *B* magnetic field amplitude,
- *L* distance extension of the magnetic field,
- $\epsilon_{\gamma}(\omega)$  quantum efficiency of the detector,
- $\Delta f$  operation frequency of the CCD.

		$\epsilon_{\gamma}(\omega)$	$N_{\rm exp}$ (mHz)	$A (\mathrm{m}^2)$	$B(\mathbf{T})$	L (m)	$\Delta f$ (Hz)
	ALPS I	see Fig 2	0.61	$0.5 \times 10^{-3}$	5	9	$9 \times 10^{14}$
	OSQAR I	see Fig 2	1.76	$0.5 \times 10^{-3}$	9	14.3	$5 \times 10^{14}$
A	OSQAR II	see Fig 2	1.14	$0.5 \times 10^{-3}$	9	14.3	$1 \times 10^{15}$
JN	CAST	see Fig 2	0.15	$2.9 \times 10^{-3}$	9	9.26	$1 \times 10^{18}$
RI	FYSGOL	·		·			



### UHF GW characteristic amplitude upper limits





#### Primordial black hole evaporation and upper limits

- PBH evaporation: predicted stochastic isotropic UHF GWs background
- Sun: thermal activity generates UHF GWs.





#### STRAIN UPPER LIMITS



# WHERE TO NEXT?

And an Merica

# Graviton to photon conversion and synergies with next generation axion search experiments

$$h_c^{\min}(0,\omega) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{4\,N_{\exp}}{A\,B^2\,L^2\,\epsilon_{\gamma}(\omega)\,\Delta\omega}} \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{-16} \sqrt{\left(\frac{N_{\exp}}{1\,\,\mathrm{Hz}}\right) \left(\frac{1\,\,\mathrm{m}^2}{A}\right) \left(\frac{1\,\,\mathrm{m}}{B}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1\,\,\mathrm{m}}{L}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1\,\,\mathrm{Hz}}{\Delta f}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\gamma}(\omega)}\right)}$$

- $N_{exp}$  detected number of photons per second
- *A* cross-section of the detector
- *B* magnetic field amplitude
- *L* distance extension of the magnetic field
- $\epsilon_{\gamma}(\omega)$  quantum efficiency of the detector
- $\Delta f$  operation frequency of the CCD









#### ALPSII: Magnets installation





ALPS II under construction (Credit: DESY)

#### ALPS II



ALPS II under construction as of October 2020 (Credit: DESY)



#### ALPS II: Fabry-Perot cavities



#### Graviton-to-photon in the conversion Fabry-Perot cavity



#### Future laboratory axion experiments: JURA, IAXO.





	$\epsilon_\gamma$	$N_{\rm dark}$ (Hz)	$A (\mathrm{m}^2)$	B (T)	L (m)	${\cal F}$
ALPS IIc	0.75	$\approx 10^{-6}$	$\approx 2 \times 10^{-3}$	5.3	120	40000
JURA	1	$\approx 10^{-6}$	$\approx 8 \times 10^{-3}$	13	960	100000
IAXO	1	$\approx 10^{-4}$	$\approx 21$	2.5	25	_



Prospects





Work in collaboration with: Mike Cruise, Damian Ejlli, Giampaolo Pisano, and Hartmut Grote



#### **ALPS II: modifications**



#### ALPS II without FP cavities



- Double length 2x106 m of the magnetic field.
- Possibility to investigate new frequency regions
- Interesting region in the GHz!





# Baby IAXO, IAXO



- Pointing: rotatable platform
- BH-BH collisions in higher dimensional gravity





# HF GW'S WITH INTERFEROMETRY?

#### Co-located interferometry up to 250 MHz at Cardiff University

- Quantization of space-time (main scientific goal)
- Dark matter searches
- High-frequency gravitational waves (1 250 MHz)



#### Co-located interferometry up to 250 MHz



Axion search experiments ALPS I, OSQAR and CAST, set first upper limits on stochastic UHF GWs.

The upgraded ALPS II, Baby-IAXO/IAXO, provide infrastructure to improve the existing upper limits for stochastic UHF GWs.

Minor modifications of axion experiments could improve sensitivity to UHF GWs.

Axion search experiments are also being identified as novel UHF GW detectors.



# Conclusions

# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION